Sustainable Urban Mobility in Transitional Countries

Wojciech Suchorzewski

Regional study prepared for Global Report on Human Settlements 2013

Available from http://www.unhabitat.org/grhs/2013

Wojciech Suchorzewski is emeritus professor at the Warsaw University of Technology and an independent consultant. He has more than 50 years of experience in design, planning, research and teaching in the area of transportation and economics. In the last two decades he has concentrated on transport policy, providing services for local and national governments and international agencies. He is co-author of a number of transport policies adopted by national and local governments, and member of several bodies such as the National Council of Motorways (Chair). Comments and questions may be sent to the author by email: w.suchorzewski@il.pw.edu.pl.

Disclaimer: This case study is published as submitted by the consultant, and it has not been edited by the United Nations.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or regarding its economic system or degree of development.

The analysis, conclusions and recommendations of the report do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme, the Governing Council of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme or its Member States.

Nairobi, 2011

Contents

1. The Crisis of Sustainability in Urban Transport: The Case of Transitional Count	ries 1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. The crisis of sustainability in urban transport	2
1.3. Structure of the report.	
2. Non-Motorized Transport	4
2.1. Importance of non-motorized transport	
2.2. Trends and conditions.	
2.3. Impacts and challenges	
3. Public Transport	
3.1. Importance of public transport in urban areas	
3.2. Trends and conditions of public transport in urban areas	
3.3. Impacts and challenges	
4. Informal Motorized Transport	
4.1. Role of informal urban transport	
4.1. Role of informat urban transport	
4.2. Trends and conditions	
5. Private Motorized Transport	
5.1. Importance of private motorized transport	17
5.2. Trends and conditions	
5.2.1. Car ownership	
5.2.2. Road infrastructure	
6. Commercial Goods Transport	
6.1. Importance of goods transport in urban areas	
6.2. Trends and conditions in commercial goods transport within urban areas	
6.2.1. Logistics operations	
6.3. Impacts of commercial goods transport and challenges	
7. Integrated Land Use and Transport Planning	
7.1. Trends and conditions in integrated urban land-use and transport planning	
7.2. Impacts and challenges of integrated urban land-use and transport planning	28
8. Social Sustainability of Urban Transport	29
8.1. Impact of urban transport on living conditions	29
8.1.1. Urban transport accessibility and affordability	29
8.1.2. Age and disability	
8.1.3. Gender issues	
8.1.4. Safety	
8.1.5. Security	
8.2. Policy responses	
8.2.1. Accessibility and affordability	
8.2.3. Mobility impaired	
8.2.4. Traffic safety	
8.2.5. Security	

8.3. Challenges for future policy development	34
9. Urban Transport and the Environment	35
9.1. Impacts of transport on urban environment.	
9.2. Policy responses	
9.2.2. Measures reducing air pollution	
9.2.3. Noise control	
9.2.4. Other measures	
9.3. Challenges for future policy development	
10. The Economics of Sustainable Urban Transport	
10.1. Impacts of urban transport on cities' economies	
10.2. Challenges for future policy developments	
11. Urban Transport Institutions and Governance	44
11.1. Impacts of transformation in institutions and governance on urban transport	
11.2. Policy responses to the challenges of urban transport institutions and governance	
11.3. Challenges of urban transport institutions and governance	
12. Towards Sustainable Urban Transport	
12.1. Trends and challenges	
List of boxes Box 1. Informal transport in Dushanbe, Tajikistan	14
Box 2. Informal transport in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan	
Box 3. Traffic control in Moscow, Russia	
Box 4. Gender issues in Tajikistan and Uzbekistan	
Box 5. The Warsaw Transport Strategy, 2009	
Box 6. Traffic control in Prague, Czech Republic	
Box 7. Public Transport Act of 19 December 2010, Poland	47
List of figures	
Figure 1. Arterial street, Belgrade (Serbia)	3
Figure 2. Non-motorized goods transport, Georgia	4
Figure 3. Bikeways, Warsaw (Poland)	5
Figure 4. Bikeway, Riga (Latvia)	
Figure 5. Tramways, Odessa (Ukraine) and Warsaw (Poland)	
Figure 6. Bus on congested ring road – 'before' and 'after', Warsaw (Poland)	
Figure 7. Minibus, Warsaw (Poland)	

Figure 8. A	Access control, Belgrade (Serbia) and Ljubljana (Slovenia)	28
List of tab	les	
Table 1. Po	opulation and gross national income (GNI) (2009)	1
Table 2. G	rowth of car ownership, 1993–2008	17
	ar ownership in relation to growth national income (GNI), selected countries 2008)	18
Table 4. Fa	atality rates for road users	21
List of acr CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Geo Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, the Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan)	rgia,
EBRD	European Bank for Reconstruction and Development	
EIB	European Investment Bank	
ECMT	European Conference of Ministers of Transport	
EU	European Union	
GNI	gross national income	
ITS	intelligent transport system	
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development	
ppp	purchasing power parity	

1. The Crisis of Sustainability in Urban Transport: The Case of Transitional Countries

1.1. Introduction

This report discusses issues related to transport in urban areas in the 28 transitional countries¹ located in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Because of significant political, economic and social differences they can be divided into 4 groups:

- Eastern Europe: new EU member states (10 countries),²
- Eastern Europe: former Soviet Union republics, except 3 new EU member states (4 countries),³
- Western and Central Asia: remaining former Soviet Union republics (8 countries);⁴
- Remaining South-Eastern European countries: Balkan region (6 countries).⁵

The **economic situation** in each of these groups of countries differs substantially (Table 1). For example, GNI ppp⁶ per capita of the new EU member states are in the order of \$12,000–\$26,000; in Russia it is \$18,000; in the Balkan states in the range \$8000–\$19,000; and in the former Soviet Union republics of Western and Central Asia, \$2000–\$10,000. The five poorest countries of the region are Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, the Republic of Moldova, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

Table 1. Population and gross national income (GNI) (2009)

Country	Population millions	Urbanization per cent	GNI ppp per capita ^a	Capital city
Albania	3.2	47	8,640	Tirana
Armenia	3.1	64	5,410	Yerevan
Azerbaijan	8.8	52	9,020	Baku
Belarus	9.7	74	12,740	Minsk
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.8	48	8,770	Sarajevo
Bulgaria	7.6	71	13,260	Sofia
Croatia	4.4	58	19,200	Zagreb
Czech Republic	10.5	74	23,940	Prague
Estonia	1.3	69	19,120	Tallinn
Georgia	4.3	53	4,700 b	Tbilisi
Hungary	10.0	68	19,090	Budapest
Kazakhstan	15.9	58	10,320	Astana
Kyrgyzstan	5.3	36	2,200	Bishkek
Latvia	2.3	68	17,610	Vilnius

^{1.} In this report, term 'transitional country' means country covered by this regional report.

^{2.} Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia.

^{3.} Belarus, Republic of Moldova, Russian Federation, Ukraine.

^{4.} Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan.

^{5.} Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Serbia, Slovenia, TFYR Macedonia.

^{6.} Gross national income per capita taking into account 'purchasing power parity' (ppp).

See Table 1.

Country	Population millions	Urbanization per cent	GNI ppp per capita ^a	Capital city
Lithuania	3.3	67	17,310	Riga
Montenegro	0.6		13,110	Podgorica
Poland	38.1	61	18,290	Warsaw
Republic of Moldova	3.6	41	3,010 °	Chisinau
Romania	21.5	54	14,540	Bucharest
Russian Federation	141.9	73	18,330	Moscow
Serbia	7.3	52	11,700	Belgrade
Slovakia	5.4	57	22,110	Bratislava
Slovenia	2.0	48	26,470	Ljubljana
Tajikistan	7.0	26	1,950	Dushanbe
TFYR Macedonia	2.0	67	10,880	Skopje
Turkmenistan	5.1	49	6,980 ^d	Ashgabat
Ukraine	46.0	68	6,180	Kiev
Uzbekistan	27.8	37	2,910 ^d	Tashkent

a: GNI per capita, PPP (current international US\$). b. Excludes Abkhazia and South Ossetia.

Source: Word Bank, 2011.

1.2. The crisis of sustainability in urban transport

The crisis of sustainability in urban transport, observed in cities of the region during the period of transition, was caused mostly by changes in the spatial structure of cities and their surroundings, the rapid growth of motorization and the deterioration of public transport.

'The salient point distinguishing the communist from the capitalist city is that in the former the allocation of land use and infrastructure investments took place with little regard for market-based principles'. An urban spatial structure was, amongst others, characterized by (i) 'a high-density urban fabric dominated by a strong centre in which the majority of the main retail, office, and government functions were concentrated'; (ii) 'a core of older high-density residential neighborhoods surrounding the city centre, intersected by commercial uses along main corridors radiating out to the urban periphery'. These zones were surrounded by 'large mono-functional districts comprised mainly of housing estates, industrial zones, and large peripheral parks'.

'While the articulation of this rigid spatial structure spanned nearly a half century, its transformation, particularly in the most dynamically reforming countries in the region, took significantly less time to accomplish'. 9

'The introduction of market-based principles in the allocation of real estate investments during the transition period has triggered a massive realignment of land uses and residents within the metropolitan fabric. This has resulted in significant restructuring of urban space' taking the form of 1) exclusive high-income suburban communities, primarily in Central Europe, the Baltic States, and in more economically advanced urban areas and 2) emerging low income

c. Excludes Transnistria. d. Based on regression; others are extrapolated from the 2005 International Comparison Program benchmark estimates.

^{8.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p. 23.

^{9.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p. 23.

squatter settlements in Central Asia, the Caucasus states, and some countries in Southeast Europe.'

The process of changes in urban structures, such as suburbanization and the public policies which support it:

'have contributed not only to the erosion of the social fabric of the transitional cities, but have caused further environmental degradation. Urban sprawl has led to an increase in air pollution as suburbanization has **induced greater travel demand** [emphasis by author]. The haphazard, low-density pattern of suburban growth has made public transit service to the growing suburban areas a very difficult proposition. This, coupled with the newfound love of the emerging middle-class for a suburban lifestyle (including heavy reliance on personal modes of transportation and a preference for suburban shopping, work, and entertainment environments), has wreaked havoc on the urban transportation system and, ultimately, increased the levels of air pollution.' 10

This list has to be supplemented by impacts such as congestion, high increase in number of accidents (and victims). All these aspects are discussed in the report.



Figure 1. Arterial street, Belgrade (Serbia)

Photo: Piotr Pecenik, Moldava.

1.3. Structure of the report

Chapters 2–6 presents an overview of conditions and trends in urban transport (non-motorized, public, informal, private, and goods). The situation in land-use and transport planning is overviewed in Chapter 7. Three chapters (chapters 8–10) deal with social, economic and environmental questions and Chapter 11 is devoted to questions of institutions and governance. Chapter 12 outlines future policy directions for sustainable urban transport at the local, national and international levels on the basis of the assessment of conditions and trends and related policy responses described in previous chapters.

^{10.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p. 93.

2. Non-Motorized Transport

2.1. Importance of non-motorized transport

Walking is the simplest and most natural form of movement by human beings. Its role depended and still depends on the availability of other means of transport, public and private. But even in cities with very high motorization rates and well-developed public transport walking is still common. Walking trip rates and share (modal split) are difficult to measure and compare because of differences in definitions. Defined by the often used value of a trip length above 100 metres, walking trips constitute 20–30 per cent of trips made in urban areas. This percentage depends not only on availability of motorized transport means but also on the city structure. And these numbers do not encompass those parts of movements (trips) made with motorized means of transport (walking to the nearest public transport stop or vehicle parked at some distance from the trip origin/destination).

In the past, the use of **bicycles** was very limited in most transitional countries. In many countries (and cities) it was justified because of climatic conditions and terrain configuration. And, generally, road infrastructure was not favourable for cyclists. For example, in Riga (Latvia), where 65 per cent of households has a bicycle available, only 3 per cent of citizens ride a bicycle every day and 66 per cent never. The share of cycling in trips is between 1 and 3 per cent (depending on the season). ¹² In Polish cities Lodz and Warsaw this share is also around 1 per cent.

In transitional countries, the **role of animal drawn carts** is very insignificant. However, there are some cases of cities in which animal drawn carts are used for transporting goods and people, for example tourists in historic parts of the city.



Figure 2. Non-motorized goods transport, Georgia

Photo: Piotr Pecenik, Moldava.

^{11.} In UK, walking longer than 45 metres is considered as a walking trip, in other countries distances such as 100 metres or above are used (Olszewski, 2007).

^{12.} Kļaviņš and Zujevs, 2010.

2.2. Trends and conditions

In the past, in transitional countries, the needs of **pedestrians and cyclists** have not been considered as a crucial matter. In particular, the needs of mobility impaired groups of urban citizens and visitors were rarely taken into account This was reflected through little attention being paid to accessibility to buildings and public transport stops and to a lack of infrastructure and other facilities and measures for cycle users (bikeways, traffic control etc.)..

Traffic safety is a serious problem. The share of pedestrians and cyclists in the total number of fatalities is extremely high and majority of accidents happen within urban areas. This issue is further developed in section 2.3.

As a result of growing concern for the issues described above, in many transitional countries, over the last years, **walking** has come to the forefront of the transportation agenda. Important developments have been taking place. This is especially noticeable in new EU member states and in some Balkan countries. Pedestrian zones and streets are being introduced in a growing number of cities. Several cities have formulated programmes to improve walking conditions at the city scale, such as:

- programmes to improve the accessibility of buildings and the transport system for the mobility impaired (e.g. technical standards for building accessibility);
- programmes to improve the technical design of sidewalks (e.g. lowered kerbs and tactile surface);
- programmes to promote and encourage walking as a mean of transport; and
- programmes to improve traffic safety through separation of pedestrian and vehicular traffic (e.g. through building overpasses and tunnels) and traffic management measures (e.g. traffic calming, traffic signals with particular attention to pedestrians).

Some cities of the region are considered as pioneers in introducing solutions favourable for pedestrians. Budapest (Hungary) was one of the first when, in 1964, the famous street Vaci Utca was transformed into a pedestrian street. In the historical centres of many cities, car-free zones were established in the 1970s and 1980s. They are still maintained and are considered as successful solutions.

In 2001 the city of Koprivnica (Croatia) began implementation of a five-year programme for cycling and walking. In those five years, a modal share of 25 per cent was reached. In Croatia there is now a national network of towns promoting sustainable transport based on cycling and walking. Actions promoting walking (and biking) include organizing car-free days.

Figure 3. Bikeways, Warsaw (Poland)



Photo: Scientific Club of Transportation Engineering, Warsaw University of Technology (KNIK).

Figure 4. Bikeway, Riga (Latvia)



Photo: Scientific Club of Transportation Engineering, Warsaw University of Technology (KNIK).

Generally, since the start of the transition period, the **treatment of cycling** started to change. In the past, recreation was the main use of bikes. Gradually, other uses (such as commuting) have become more popular. Amongst others, in large cities, courier type services are an increasingly popular element of the urban freight system. Systems of separated bikeways are being developed in many cities, innovative solutions such as 'public bike' are being introduced, the possibility to transport bicycles on public transport vehicles (local and long distance) has been created and 'bike-and-ride' parking is promoted in some cities.

The spatial plan for Riga 2006–2018 (Latvia) implies building new cycling paths in central streets. The Bicycle Transport Development Programme for Riga City also includes building infrastructure for cycling (bikeways, parking stands), as well as such issues as renting, educational and information systems and a bicycle transport management system. It has already brought about visible effects. On some bikeways, in two years (2008–2010), the number of cyclists doubled.

One of the most ambitious programmes to increase the share of trips made by bike was formulated in Lubljana (Slovenia) within the Civitas Elan project. Bicycle renting system is to be developed (at least 30 points to be in operation mid-2011) and bikeway network is to be expanded. An increase in the percentage of bicycle journeys for 20 per cent, and a reduction of 20 per cent in the number of accidents involving cyclists is expected.

Budapest (Hungary) has 170 kilometres of pathways designated for cycling (cycling paths, lanes and streets suitable for cycling). It is planned to increase this network to 300 kilometres by 2015.

In Poland, most large and medium cities have formulated programmes regarding bicycles and great efforts are made to create better conditions for bicycle users. National and local technical guidelines have been published and legal documents and instructions adopted. By 2009, the lengths of cycle paths (individual or shared with pedestrian paths) were: Warsaw (1,711,000 inhabitants) 275 kilometres; Wroclaw (632,000 inhabitants) 158 kilometres; Krakow (755,000 inhabitants) 96 kilometres; Poznan (557,000 inhabitants) 88 kilometres; Gdansk (456,000 inhabitants) 83 kilometres, Lodz (755,000 inhabitants) 62 kilometres. In

^{13.} CIVITAS, 2009.

2008 a system of 'public bikes' was introduced in Krakow. In many cities bikes can be carried on public transport vehicles. At the central level, a special unit was established in the General Directorate for Motorways and National Roads. ¹⁴ In Warsaw, there are 117 parking facilities organized by the city, as well as 10 'bike-and-ride' parking facilities. In the near future the network of bicycle paths will be increased from 275 to 330 kilometres. In all local land-use plans space for bicycle paths has to be reserved. ¹⁵

Belarus also has a national policy to promote cycling and walking. Policies concerning cycling have not yet been formulated in Ukraine and in the Russian Federation. However, in the city of Moscow (Russia) there is a programme to create a system of cycling paths by renewing existing paths and building new ones. After its completion there will be about 50 kilometres of bicycle paths, part of them in parks.

On 28 October 2010 the first cycling path was inaugurated in Kiev, Ukraine. This track shall be the first part of construction of 162 kilometres of bicycle lanes, this arising from a programme agreed between the Kiev Cyclists Association and the Kiev City Council. The cycling path is an important sign that cycling is gaining interest in Ukraine and that decision-makers are increasingly prepared to cater for this demand.¹⁶

2.3. Impacts and challenges

In transitional countries, there is a growing understanding of the importance of pedestrian movement conditions. There are many examples of reflecting this in transport policies and specific actions. In general, visible progress is noted especially in new EU member states and other Balkan countries.

For reasons similar to the case of pedestrians, there is a growing understanding that bicycles are an alternative way of moving which help to meet sustainable development objectives. In many countries national and local transport policies support bicycles as means of transport. However, in most cases, the role of the bicycle is still much smaller than in some Western European countries, such as Denmark and the Netherlands.

Despite these positive developments in some countries, the response in practice to transport policies has been rather slow. In particular, more has to be done to improve walking conditions and access to destinations and services for mobility impaired people. And more efforts are needed to make the bicycle a more attractive means of transport through the development of infrastructure and cyclist friendly traffic management.

In spite of efforts made, the safety of pedestrians and cyclists is still a serious issue and is the **main challenge**. In transitional countries the road traffic death rates per 100,000 population are extremely high, with a high proportion being in urban areas in which the majority of pedestrian and cyclist fatalities also happen. For example, in the capital cities of three of the new EU member states (Riga, Latvia; Sofia, Bulgaria; and Warsaw, Poland), the share of pedestrians in the total number of road deaths was over 60 per cent, whilst in the capitals of the next three (Budapest, Hungary; Prague, Czech Republic; and Tallinn, Estonia) it was between 50 and 60 per cent. The average for the whole EU was 43 per cent, with the percentages in Amsterdam (the Netherlands), Athens (Greece) and Rome (Italy) being between 20 and 30 per cent.¹⁷

^{14.} Nowaczyk, 2010. Data for 2008.

^{15.} Warsaw, 1995.

^{16.} More information on this cycling path is provided on http://www.avk.org.ua/uk/news/zmiaboutavk/188-pershavelodorizhka-koso-krivo-abi-zhivo.html.

^{17.} ETSC, 2008.

3. Public Transport

3.1. Importance of public transport in urban areas

Before the transition to market economies started, the share of urban public transport in countries of the region was very high (well above 70 per cent). Rapid growth of motorization caused a change in modal split, namely a reduction in the public transport share. This is discussed in section 3.2. Nevertheless, in many cities the share is still high (often above 50 per cent) and stabilization of this share is observed. This was caused by increasing congestion on urban roads as well as by national and local government policies.

In the past, in medium and large cities a very large role was played by all forms of rail transport (trams, suburban railway, and metro). The first **metro** line in continental Europe was opened in Budapest (Hungary) in 1896. Since then metro systems have been built in many cities, and the most ambitious programme was implemented in the former Soviet Union. The first line was opened in Moscow in 1935, since when 12 lines have been built totalling 293 kilometres and 177 stations. During the Cold War tunnels and stations were designed as antinuclear shelters. Nowadays, the Moscow metro is the second largest metro system in the world, after Tokyo (Japan). Metro systems have been built in 16 cities of the former Soviet Union:

- Six in the Russian Federation: Moscow, Saint Petersburg, Novosibirsk, Yekaterinburg, Nizhny Novgorod, Samara and Kazan.
- Four in the Ukraine: Kiev, Kharkiv, Dnipropetrovsk and Kryvy Rih. 19
- Five in the following capital cities: Baku (Azerbaijan), Minsk (Belarus), Tbilisi (Georgia), Tashkent (Uzbekistan) and Yerevan (Armenia).

In the pre-transition period metro construction was also initiated in many large cities of other countries outside the Soviet Union. Construction was slow because of very high investment costs and occurred at the expense of modernization and maintenance of less expensive means of rail transport. At present, metro systems serve Belgrade (Serbia), Budapest (Hungary), Bucharest (Romania), Prague (Czech Republic), Sofia (Bulgaria) and Warsaw (Poland). In Prague, in the year 2009, the metro served 47.7 per cent of public transport passengers, trams 28.5 per cent and buses 23.7 per cent.²¹

Railways are relatively well developed means of transport in all groups of transitional countries, serving larger cities and surrounding areas (commuting traffic services). For example, in the Warsaw metropolitan area the share of suburban railways in the total number of passenger-kilometres served by public transport is close to 20 per cent.

In many cities of Western Europe, after World War II, **trams** were liquidated. But in the transitional countries this was less common and took place more so in smaller cities. In the majority of large cities tramways are now one of the important means of transport.

Buses play an important role in all cities of the region. Their flexibility and independence of special infrastructure (rails and/or power supply system) means that their role is even increasing. They are used in various ways: (i) by large or medium size operators (publicly owned or private company) with fixed routes and schedules approved by the city authority

^{18.} Ranking based on the number of passengers carried.

^{19.} Some of them belong to LRT (light rail or fast tram) category.

^{20.} First line opened in September 2010.

^{21.} Prague Public Transport Company, 2009.

(organizer of public transport) or (ii) by small company operating in flexible way without fixed principles. With liberalization this second form has become very popular in some transitional country cities. Issues relating to this form are discussed in section 3.2 and in Chapter 4.

Trolleybuses were and still are present in many cities as well, but their role are not significant.

3.2. Trends and conditions of public transport in urban areas

From the mid-1980s, the use of public transport has decreased; Bucharest (Romania) and Sofia (Bulgaria) being good examples. In large Czech, Hungarian and Polish cities the public transport share of total motorized trips fell from about 75–85 per cent to only 50–60 per cent. Public transport has lost even more market share in small cities and villages. ²²

Economic reforms were the main reason. **On the demand side,** various transition processes led to a polarization of income, which cut deeply into the urban public transport market. A part of the lost market was at its high end, consisting of previous public transport passengers who could now afford to buy and use automobiles or to increase their use of already-owned vehicles. There was also a loss at the low end of the market, where the problem was affordability. In consequence, many people simply could not afford to pay ordinary fares (pensioners and students had a discount); they either travelled less or did not pay fares. Moreover, unemployment (which was unknown in centrally planned economies), restructuring of the economy (reduced role of heavy industry and the growth of more evenly distributed small and medium-size companies) and the development of commercial centres were factors which changed travel behaviour. The number and lengths of commuting trips have been reduced. However, with shopping and recreational travel the complete opposite happened.²³ **On the supply side**, the pressure to reduce budget deficits has led to reduction in the availability (routes, schedules) and quality of public transport.

As was noted in section 3.1, **railways** were playing an important role in serving larger cities and surrounding areas. In the pre-transition period the deterioration of railway systems started. Backlogs in maintenance and aging rolling stock reduced the quality of services, including regional and local services. This continued at the beginning of the transition period. It caused the reduction of the role of railways in serving urbanized areas in most countries of the region. Economic crisis at the end of the last decade worsened the situation.

At present, suburban railways serve several major cities; Budapest (Hungary, 4 lines, 2 operators of suburban railways), Moscow (Russian Federation, 11 radial lines), Prague (Czech Republic), Riga (Latvia), Tallinn (Estonia), Vilnius (Lithuania), Zagreb (Croatia). In Poland railways serve all major agglomerations; Warsaw is served by 8 radial lines (3 operators). Lviv and Kiev (Ukraine) are served by suburban trains (*elektrichkas*).

In the Western Asia countries the role of railways in serving cities is very limited because of the poor condition of railways which have deteriorated since independence. Rail transport is slow and unreliable. There is a dramatic need for investment, including replacement of rolling stock, rehabilitation of infrastructure, renewal of electrification, and bridge reconstruction. Standard suburban services are provided only in Tashkent (Uzbekistan), Ashgabat (Turkmenistan), Yerevan (Armenia), Baku (Azerbaijan, *elektrichka*) and Tbilisi (Georgia).

^{22.} Pucher and Buehler, 2003.

^{23.} Suchorzewski, 2005.

Figure 5. Tramways, Odessa (Ukraine) and Warsaw (Poland)





Photo: Lukasz Oleszczuk, Warsaw.

Photo: Janusz Michalski, Warsaw.

However, in the whole region there is growing understanding that better use of rail infrastructure and facilities could help to solve, or at least reduce, problems caused by growing road traffic in urbanized areas. Efforts are being made in some countries to use that opportunity.

At the beginning of the transition period, **tramways** were neglected and even liquidated in many cities of the former Soviet Union countries. In 2010, they were operating in a limited number of cities in the Russian Federation, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan. In other countries of the region, tramways have been modernized in many cities. In 2004, there were 33 tramway systems in the new EU-member countries, and a further 19 in the remaining Eastern European countries. ²⁴ In Prague (Czech Republic), trams serve almost 30 per cent of passengers, and in Warsaw (Poland) 21 per cent.

In the last decades, a higher standard form of tramways became popular, called light rail or light rail transit. This is a form of urban public transport between heavy rail and metro and conventional tram. It is operating mostly in segregated tracks, but sometimes mixed with other traffic in city streets. In the last years it has been developed in some cities of the region through upgrading of selected existing lines or building of new ones. Krakow (Poland) is an example.

As mentioned earlier, rail transport plays an important role in many larger cities. At present 5 main types of development are observed:

- Continuation of metro construction;
- Upgrading tram transport (infrastructure, rolling stock);
- Upgrading suburban railways,
- Development of suburban railways (new lines); and
- Development of tramways in two forms: conventional tramway and light rail.

Nevertheless, there are still backlogs because limited resources are allocated to build and maintain public transport infrastructure. Among components which are not properly developed and maintained are facilities such as stops (tram, trolleybus, bus).

Trolleybus was popular in the Soviet Union. It was and is still operating in other countries of the region. Nevertheless, its role has reduced.

^{24.} UITP, 2004.

Figure 6. Bus on congested ring road – 'before' and 'after', Warsaw (Poland)





Photo: Scientific Club of Transportation Engineering, Warsaw University of Technology (KNIK).

As in other regions, even in cities with well-developed rail transport (suburban railways, metro, tram), **bus and minibus** play important roles in transitional countries. There are significant differences between groups of countries, in particular between the new EU-member states and the former Soviet Union republics. This is especially reflected in the ways of organizing and financing public transport, including the treatment of small and medium sized private operators.

Changes in Tbilisi (Georgia) serve as a good example of transformation. Financing problems (very low fares) and poor maintenance led to a deterioration of quality and reliability of the publicly operated trolleys, tram and bus systems. Whilst the city could still take advantage of an underground metro, it was not capable of meeting all users' needs. Gaps were filled by privately owned (but highly uncontrollable) buses and minibuses The municipality made efforts to improve the bus/minibus system, amongst other things through importing 'yellow' buses with the intention of replacing privately owned low-standard minibuses. But the private minibus sector still remains strong. ²⁵

The **role of small and me dium size operators** has been changing. At the beginning of the transition period, when economic liberalization took place, in some countries the creation of small and medium size companies (including sole proprietorships) was allowed and encouraged. Providing minibus services was permitted after registration (flexible route and schedule, fares not regulated). Simple taxation rules were applied. These entrepreneurs were self-financing and filled the gaps in conventional public transport services. Gradually, the legal framework was amended, with the tendency to make services more regulated (e.g. quality licensing). For example, operators had to register transport services with detailed definition of routes and schedules. The standard of service is controlled through technical inspection of vehicles amongst other things. In several cities of the new EU member states this form of transport still plays important role, especially in serving suburban areas including commuting to city centres. Regulations have been adjusted to those of the EU.²⁶

In most CIS countries the role of small and medium size operators is greater and services less regulated. For example, in Yerevan (Armenia) the role of metro, trolleybus and conventional bus has significantly reduced and the tram system was closed. In 2006 the

^{25.} Tkhilava and Karanadze, 2006.

^{26.} This is discussed in Chapter 11.

conventional public service network was limited to only one metro line (12.1 kilometres), 18 bus lines (270 kilometres, 120 buses) and 7 trolleybus lines (96.2 kilometres, 50 trolleybuses). At the same time there were 125 microbus lines (1916 kilometres) served by over 3000 of minibuses. In 2004 their share of the total number of passengers was equal to 93 per cent. Increases in the level of service (network, frequency), however, was accompanied by negative features such as 'poor safety features of small vehicles, erratic and unsafe driving practices and a disregard for the needs of exempted passengers'. ²⁹

In Kazakhstan cities, 'trams, trolley-buses and in some cases large public buses are owned by municipalities and operated by unreformed municipal transport entities. The companies are largely loss-making as tariffs are regulated by municipalities (political considerations are significant). There is noteworthy private sector participation in bus and mini-bus services, which operate without subsidies'. ³⁰ Issues relating to small operators and services which can be classified as informal transport are further discussed in Chapter 4.

The rules of **public transport financing** are changing. In the past (before transition started) fares were low, public transport was subsidized (farebox share was below 50 per cent), and there were many privileged users. With new economic policies, adopted in most of countries at the beginning of the transition period, subsidies were reduced and fares started increasing. Generally, because of financial problems, a deterioration of standards in public transport was observed including a deterioration of railway transport infrastructure, aging fleets, etc. The decreasing quality of public transport – at a time when car ownership was growing – had a strong impact on changes in modal split, as was mentioned before.

Issues of **organization and financing of public transport** are discussed in more detail in Chapter 10.

3.3. Impacts and challenges

Two main constrains in providing services at desirable quality level are: financing and traffic congestion.

As already mentioned, in the past, most public transport in the region was heavily subsidized. When transition started, these subsidies were significantly reduced. At the same time, farebox revenues were decreasing due to changes in the modal split. Consequently, as it was mentioned earlier, deterioration of services was observed. Increasing fares appeared to be very unpopular and politically risky. It took some time before policy makers understood that public transport has to be financially supported, at least to some degree.

Moreover, the impact of motorization growth causing congestion was the second constraint. It heavily affected public transport operation, with strong impact on both operating costs and service quality. In spite of the major role played, actively prioritizing surface public transport – trams, buses, etc – was rarely used in traffic management. As in the case of financing, it took time before cities started to introduce such priorities in traffic as bus lanes, priorities for trams and buses in traffic signal control, etc. But in some new EU member countries, great efforts were made to improve public transport. The progress made in the Czech Republic, Hungary and Poland is summarized in the following quote:

Even without adequate support from central governments, many local governments have undertaken a range of measures to improve their public

^{27.} Tsarukyan, 2006.

^{28.} Vermishyan, 2008.

^{29.} UN-Habitat, 2010.

^{30.} EBRD, 2010.

transport systems. Several cities have built new light rail lines (fast trams) or extended metro systems. Many cities have reconstructed tram tracks and track beds, modernized metro stations, and gradually replaced their aging bus, tram, and metro fleets with modern, Westernstyle vehicles. Some cities have also rationalized fare structures, improved fare collection systems, and introduced real-time information for passengers at tram and metro stops. Funds for public transport are so limited in most cities, however, that only a fraction of the necessary improvements can be implemented. That makes it difficult to keep up with the ever-increasing competition from the extremely popular private car, especially in the face of rampant suburban sprawl, whose low density, polycentric layout, and multi-destinational travel patterns are so adverse to public transport. 31

After the first period of transition, when public transport was deteriorating, growing congestion and other consequences of increased motorization forced many central and local governments to change policy and give more attention to public transport. In many cities this was reflected in formulating transport policies in which priority of public transport was given high importance. This will be discussed in chapters 8–11.

^{31.} Pucher and Buehler, 2003.

4. Informal Motorized Transport

4.1. Role of informal urban transport

The term **informal urban transport** usually refers to the forms of passenger road transport services (bus, minibus, personal car-semitaxi, van, pick-up) which are operating:

'informally and illicitly, somewhat in the background, and outside the officially sanctioned public transport sector without legal acceptance or permit. What separates informal transport operators from others is that they lack, to some degree, official and proper credentials. That is, they are unsanctioned. In some instances, operators lack the necessary permits or registration for market entry in what is a restricted, regulated marketplace. In other instances, operators fail to meet certification requirements for commercial, common-carrier vehicles — such as minimum vehicle size, maximum age or fitness standards. Other violations include lack of liability insurance, absence of commercial driving permit and operation of unclassified or substandard vehicle."

This form of passenger transport is very popular in lower-income countries, where it plays important role.

In the pre-transition period, the political systems in the majority of transitional countries almost totally excluded the possibility of providing transport services informally. Services were provided almost exclusively by publicly owned transport operators. As it was written earlier, at the beginning of transition period, liberalization took place. Simplified procedures encouraged development of small (sometimes one person – minibus owner) and medium size companies providing legally more or less regulated services. Services of this kind, classified as a form of **public transport**, are still provided in some countries of the region, including the new EU member states. The cases have been briefly described in section 3.2.

In some countries of the region (mostly CIS countries) an entirely informal urban and interurban transport system is still operating. Dushanbe is a good example (see Box 1).

Box 1. Informal transport in Dushanbe, Tajikistan

In 2009 in Dushanbe there were nine private minibus companies operating 1500 low-capacity minibuses, locally known as *marshrutka* (capacity: 7–8 passengers) throughout the city. In addition, more than 5000–5500 China-made vans (known among the local population as *tangems*) were also used as fixed-route taxies. Only one-fifth of the 5000 registered commercial minibuses operating in the capital, have undergone the proper vehicle checks and have permits to carry passengers. That left 4000 operating more or less illegally. In February 2009 the City Mayor of Dushanbe decided to prohibit *tangems* from the main streets of the capital. The provision of additional bus and trolleybus services routes along these streets was announced. The plan included using an EBRD loan to support rehabilitation of trolleybus lines by the municipal transport company, and importing a fleet of more than 1000 14-seater buses made in the Republic of Korea (for public transport operators). Private operators will still be free to import them and use them as buses, as long as they pay for a public transport license. Additionally, in April 2010, an agreement to implement a medium-sized project, 'Support to Sustainable Transport Management in Dushanbe', was signed between UNDP GEF and the City Mayor.

Courses:	ERDD	2000	Hamrahaeva	2010
<i>Source</i> :	r.BKI)	2009	Hamranaeva	2010

Figure 7. Minibus, Warsaw (Poland)



Photo: Janusz Michalski, Warsaw.

Para-transit may serve not only areas where formal public transport systems are either inaccessible or inadequately developed. It is may also be active in serving commuting trips to the central city, thus competing with formal public transport operators and reducing the financial efficiency of their operations. These, together with objections concerning technical standards and safety, are the main reasons for criticism, especially by the formal transport operators. But there are reasons for considering informal transport as filling the gap. One of them is operation without subsidy, important for cities with limited resources which are not able to finance investment in new rolling stock. The city of Bishkek provides an example (see Box 2).

Box 2. Informal transport in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan

The Bishkek municipal park has 234 trolley-buses and 30–35 buses. In addition, private companies and individuals provide passenger service using buses and minivans with 2100–2500 vehicles. This can be qualified as para-transit. According to some estimates, 600 buses, 300 trolleybuses and around 400 minivans would be needed to provide services.

Source:http://www.centralasia-biz.com/cabiz/eng/kyrgyz_eng/transport_kg_eng/abt_transport_kg_eng.htm

4.2. Trends and conditions

In some countries of the former Soviet Union, informal transport still plays an important role. This role is gradually reduced, but total elimination of this form of transport will take some time

In the new EU member states, informal transport has been practically eliminated. It does not mean that small and medium size service providers (using minibuses and/or buses) have been excluded. They may still operate, if they meet formal requirements for public transport services.

4.3. Impacts of informal urban transport and challenges

Most benefits and disadvantages of informal transport have been mentioned earlier. Experience of transitional countries has shown that, in most of these countries, disadvantages of totally informal public transport are larger than benefits. However, in some lower-income transitional countries (mostly CIS countries) with high unemployment and deteriorating conventional public transport, informal transport may: (i) fill the gap in serving low-density areas and offering flexible and self-financing services; and (ii) be a source of employment. In this situation, finding solutions which will be appropriate for different groups of countries is one of crucial challenges.

5. Private Motorized Transport

5.1. Importance of private motorized transport

A very high growth of motorization observed in most transitional countries in the last decades (Table 1) had a strong impact on the modal share of private motorized transport (car, motorcycle). The share of trips made by these means of transport was rapidly increasing. For example, in new EU member states, where the fastest growth of car ownership has been noted (see Table 2), the share of commuting trips made by cars and motorcycles increased to around 30 per cent (Bratislava, 26 per cent; Tallinn, 33 per cent; Vilnius, 31 per cent; and Warsaw, 33 per cent).

In general, the role of motorcycles and other motorized two-wheelers is not significant. There are, however, great differences between countries. In Turkmenistan motorcycles constitute 18 per cent of all motorized vehicles, in the Czech Republic 15 per cent and in Belarus 12 per cent. In contrast, motorcycles constitute less than 2 per cent of all motorized vehicles in Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, TFYR Macedonia, Serbia and Romania. These differences cannot be easily explained by factors such as income level or natural conditions (land configuration, climate). Initially, motorcycles served obligatory trips (commuting, work). Nowadays, there is increasing interest in using high-class motorcycle for recreational purposes. This has been noted, firstly, in wealthier countries of the region.

Table 2. Growth of car ownership, 1993–2008

	Number of passenger cars			Cars per	Growth	Annual
Country	1993	2000	2008	1000 population 2008	1993– 2008	growth rate (%)
Albania	56,728	114,532	264,828	83.2	4.67	10.8
Azerbaijan	263,315	332,026	700,080	79.2	2.66	6.7
Bulgaria	1,505,451	1,992,700	2,366,000	310.4	1.57	3.1
Croatia	646,210	1,119,000	1,551,000	349.6	2.40	6.0
Czech Republic	2,833,143	3,438,870	4,423,000	424.3	1.56	3.0
Estonia	317,425	463,900	551,800	411.5	1.74	3.8
Kyrgyzstan	188,200	189,827	316,787	60.3	1.68	3.5
Latvia	367,475	556,800	932,830	411.7	2.54	6.4
Lithuania	597,735	1,172,400	1,671,065	497.6	2.80	7.1
Poland	6,770,557	9,991,300	1,608,000	421.8	2.37	5.9
Slovakia	994,933	1,274,200	1,544,900	285.7	1.55	2.98
Slovenia	632,563	869,000	1,045,180	517.2	1.65	3.40
TFYR Macedonia	289,979	300,000	263,112	128.5	0.91	-0.65
Ukraine	4,235,700	5,250,129	6,393,903	138.8	1.51	2.78

Source: UNECE 2010.

^{33.} WHO, 2009b.

Table 3. Car ownership in relation to growth national income (GNI), selected countries (2008)

Country	GNI per capita, PPP* (current US\$ international)	Passenger cars per 1000 inhabitants	GNI per car owned (US\$)
Denmark	37,530	377	99,549
Germany	35,920	502	71,554
Ukraine	7,210	138	52,246
Georgia	4,920	95	51,789
Serbia	10,380	202	51,386
Poland	16,710	422	39,597
Latvia	16,010	412	38,859
Bulgaria	11,370	310	36,677
Republic of Moldova	3,270	101	32,376

^{*} purchasing power parity

Source: World Bank 2010, 2011.

5.2. Trends and conditions

5.2.1. Car ownership

As noted in section 5.1, all transitional countries have experiences a very high growth in motorization over the last two decades. The fastest growth has been observed in the number of passenger cars (see Table 2). In most countries car ownership rates³⁴ are much higher than can be explained by income. In general, Eastern European cities rank first in the world for the number of passenger cars per dollar GNI (see Table 3).³⁵

In spite of the fast growth of motorization, in the countries of the former Soviet Union republics, car ownership rates are still much lower than in other groups of transitional economies. The fastest growth was observed in the Russian Federation, (+107 per cent increase in the period 1995–2007), with most of the increase occurring in urban areas. In 2006 car ownership in Moscow was 268, while for the whole Russian Federation it was 207.

In the lowest-income countries much lower rates of car ownership are still noted, in some countries well below 100 vehicles per 1000 inhabitants (e.g., Uzbekistan, 81; and Republic of Moldova, 89). In Georgia the rate of motorization in the first decade of the transition period decreased by almost 50 per cent. In the second decade slow growth has been observed and in 2007 car ownership rates reached levels close to those which had been noted in 1993.³⁶

In the whole region, car ownership rates in cities are much higher than in suburban and rural areas. Furthermore, in several large transitional country cities they are higher than in some cities of the wealthiest developed countries. In particular, this has been noticed in new EU member states and in some Balkan countries. Examples include: Prague (Czech Republic), 496; Vilnius (Lithuania), 370; and Ljubljana (Slovenia), 504. These rates are

^{34.} Number of cars per 1000 inhabitants.

^{35.} UN-Habitat, 2009.

^{36.} UNECE and WHO, 2008.

higher than cities such as Amsterdam (Netherlands), 286; Copenhagen (Denmark), 290; Hamburg (Germany), 370; and Vienna (Austria), 398.³⁷

When comparing statistical data, it is necessary to take into account that quality of vehicles (technical standards, age and technical repair depending on maintenance) is generally low. A considerable part of the vehicle fleet consists of second-hand cars, minibuses, buses and trucks imported from countries of Western Europe and East Asia. This is particularly true for the former Soviet Union countries (CIS). For example, in 2007, the percentage of passenger cars over 10 years old in the Russian Federation was 51 per cent; Azerbaijan, 58 per cent; Uzbekistan, 76 per cent; and Georgia, 83 per cent. The rates for buses, trucks and light duty vehicles are even higher.

Age and quality of vehicles, reflected in their prices, explains to some extent, why car ownership rates are much higher than can be explained by GNI per capita. High age and the poor technical condition of most of these vehicles also have a strong impact on traffic safety and on pollution of the environment.

5.2.2. Road infrastructure

At the beginning of the post-war period the provision of essential infrastructure in cities, including roads, was a clearly stated public goal. 'The basic elements of the socialist urban infrastructure systems – roads, water and sewer mains, power and telephone lines, educational, recreational and health facilities—were completed during the first decades after World War II.... During the last decades before transition started, the communist regimes concentrated the lion share of public investments in the construction of new large-scale industrial facilities and housing estates at the urban periphery... This strategy led to prolonged disinvestment in inner-city neighbourhoods, where the infrastructure began to crumble.' The situation was worsened because of emphasis on quantity rather than quality. Because of low technical standards (such as carrying capacity of road pavements) road infrastructure was not only unprepared to serve growing road traffic volumes but also to serve heavy weight good vehicles.

From the beginning of the transition rapid growth was noted in traffic volumes. In cities and their surroundings congestion became one of the main problems, this being exaggerated by the configuration of urban road network system. In many cities the prevailing road scheme is the radial system. This means that through traffic crosses the central, high density parts of cities. As well as increasing congestion on urban roads there has been a negative impact on living conditions, on the number of accidents, and accelerated deterioration of road infrastructure (pavement, bridges) which was inadequately maintained and not prepared for increased loads. However, on the basis of public opinion polls the list of problems is headed not by the poor state of repair of pavements and other road infrastructure, but by traffic congestion. This is the reason why many local, regional and national governments concentrate the majority of public investments on increasing road capacity through improvement and expansion of road networks. Focus is on high-speed arterial roads, urban and suburban rings (by-passes), and connections to the main intercity and international routes. Growing traffic congestion, caused by the rapid increase in motorization, would justify application of advanced intelligent transport systems (ITS) solutions in traffic and transport management systems. Unfortunately, these solutions are rarely placed on the priority lists.

^{37.} http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Urban_rankings.

^{38.} Donchenko, 2008.

^{39.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009.

As may be predicted, this policy of increasing capacity actually has a limited impact on improving traffic conditions, because under saturation conditions⁴⁰ the increase in capacity often generates additional traffic (latent demand). At the same time, inadequate resource allocation for maintenance and repair of remaining roads dramatically worsens their condition.

5.3. Impacts and challenges of private motorized traffic

As in other regions, rapid motorization, accompanied by suburbanization, have resulted in the increase of traffic volumes and congestion. Public opinion surveys show that in the transitional countries congestion has been moving to the top of the list of urban area problems.

- As could be expected, the development of road infrastructure in urban areas is slower than required to meet the growing demand from local and through traffic. While this may be expected with regard to local traffic (with high car ownership rates, in larger cities, meeting all needs of road traffic is not possible), through traffic deserves special treatment. Analysis of selected cases has shown that many cities are suffering because of the lack or insufficient development of roads by-passing central urban areas. This is particularly seen in small and medium size cities where the share of through traffic (passenger cars and goods vehicles) is especially high. This traffic is especially arduous for the city residents.
- Growing traffic volumes leading to congestion have strong negative impact on the urban environment. These impacts (noise, air pollution) are heightened because of the low technical standards of vehicles. In many transitional country cities levels of air pollution are much higher than is accepted in the EU or the US. In particular, this concerns nitrogen oxides, ozone and particular matter. There is lack of regulation or weak enforcement.
- With high car-ownership rates the supply of parking facilities is below demand, particularly in large cities. Obviously, meeting demand may be impossible in central areas, but in other urban parts it is both desirable and demanded by the public.
- Motorization and auto-dependency have strong social and economic impacts (costs and benefits). This is further discussed in Chapter 9.
- Very high accidents rates place transitional countries among regions with poor traffic safety.

This last point deserves special attention. National statistics show that in transitional countries traffic accident rates are much higher than in the rest of Europe. In the WHO region - Europe (this region includes countries of the former Soviet Union) 26 of the worst 28 countries ranked by fatality rates⁴¹ are transitional countries, the 12 worst being the former Soviet Union republics. Kazakhstan and the Russian Federation have the highest rates at 30.6 and 25.2 (see Table 4). For the whole WHO region, the average rate is 13.4. A high proportion of accidents take place in urban areas. Road death rates for these countries are 2-4 times higher than in most Western European cities. 42 Causes of this situation are a subject of discussion. Common opinion is that this is the consequence of the low quality of road infrastructure, poor vehicle safety and regulatory practices which have not kept up with the growth of motorization. Alternatively, it is claimed that the behaviour (driving style) of drivers is the main cause of high accident and fatality rates.

^{40.} Traffic volume equal to capacity.

Fatality rate: number of deaths from road traffic injuries per year per 100,000 population. 41.

ETSC, 2008.

Table 4. Fatality rates for road users

	Death rate	Pe	ercent of road us	ers' death	S
Country	per 100,000 population	4-wheel vehicles	Motorcycles	Bicycle	Pedestrians
Albania	13.9	45.3	9.0	5.7	40.0
Armenia	13.9	60.3		0.3	39.4
Azerbaijan	13.0	59.7	1.2	0.9	38.1
Belarus	15.7	47.3	3.8	9.1	39.8
Bosnia	10.9	61.0	4.7	5.8	23.7
Bulgaria	13.2	65.0	0.0	4.5	26.3
Croatia	13.6	49.9	18.8	4.5	20.0
Czech Republic	12.0	59.4	11.4	9.5	19.2
Estonia	14.7	66.0	6.0	9.0	19.0
Georgia	16.8	_	_	0.3	27.7
Hungary	12.3	54.4	10.1	11.7	22.7
Kazakhstan	30.6	_	_	_	16.2
Kyrgyzstan	22.8	55.0	_	1.0	43.0
Latvia	17.9	50.4	4.2	8.1	37.3
Lithuania	22.4	53.7	4.5	6.9	31.9
Montenegro	20.4	75.4	4.1	_	20.5
Poland	14.7	51.0	5.0	9.0	35.0
Republic of Moldova	15.1	57.3	4.1	2.4	34.3
Romania	12.7	74.5	8.0	6.8	10.8
Russian Federation	25.2	62.0	2.1	_	35.9
Serbia	9.8	58.6	5.6	9.2	25.1
Slovakia	15.1	49.6	8.0	8.5	33.9
Slovenia	14.6	64.5	18.1	5.8	11.3
Tajikistan	14.1	48.7	1.1	6.0	43.6
TFYR Macedonia	6.9	41.4	10.7	3.6	34.3
Turkmenistan	18.6	_	_	4.6	28.9
Ukraine	21.5	44.3	_	_	55.7
Uzbekistan	9.7	_		_	

Source: WHO, 2009a.

Growing congestion, accidents, lack of parking facilities and environmental impacts of road traffic are amongst the main challenges faced by city authorities, but with growing understanding that it is not possible to meet all roads and parking facility needs. Examples of cities which have formulated transport policies and adopted measures such as access restrictions, public transport priorities, and parking policies (limits/requirements, zoning, etc.) are presented in Chapter 12.

6. Commercial Goods Transport

6.1. Importance of goods transport in urban areas

For the purposes of this report the term commercial goods transport encompasses:

- The delivery of consumer goods (not only for retail, but also by other sectors such as manufacturing or construction) in city and suburban areas, including the reverse flow of used goods in terms of clean waste; 43 delivery of consumer goods is only a part of the whole logistics chain, therefore issues relating to urban freight logistics such as handling and storage of goods, the management of inventory, waste and returns as well as home delivery services will be covered.
- Through freight road transport, if this is crossing the urban area; in many cases this has a strong negative impact on traffic conditions and the environment; contrary to this, railway freight transport has small impact on the natural and human environment.

As in other groups of countries, in all transitional countries goods transport is a crucial issue in urban areas as a considerable part of goods vehicles movements are within urban areas. It is common that a growing economy and increased consumption causes growth in local delivery and service operations. Rapid growth of long distance freight transport (national and international) contributes to problems experienced by cities, because of through traffic in cities where by-passes do not exist or are partially located in urban areas. As was mentioned in Chapter 5, in many transitional country cities bypass roads are lacking, forcing long-distance transport vehicles to use radial and internal ring roads through urban areas.

6.2. Trends and conditions in commercial goods transport within urban areas

Road traffic volumes of commercial goods vehicles within urban areas are to a considerable degree conditioned by general trends in freight transport. In the beginning of the transition period, in most countries of the region, two main features of these trends were: (i) fundamental political and economic changes (e.g. reduced role of heavy industry and growth of consumption) and (ii) modal shift from rail to road transport.

There are significant differences between groups of transitional countries. According to ECMT data, 44 in 15 transition countries of the Central and Eastern European countries and the Baltic States, 45 rail has lost the dominant position it held in 1990 – and the even more dominant one of 1970 – to road transport, which in 2005 carried over 60 per cent of the combined tonne-kilometres conveyed by the three main modes of inland transport. 46 On the contrary, in the seven CIS member states, rail retained its position as the dominant mode for freight transport, accounting in 2005 for practically 87 per cent of the market in tonne-kilometres, with road transport carrying only a little more than 9 per cent.

It is obvious that the growth of transport volumes (tonne-kilometres) was accompanied by the growth in the number of trucks. In the period 1993–2008/2009 the number of lorries

^{43.} This part of definition is similar to this formulated in OECD, 2003.

^{44.} ECMT, 2007b.

^{45.} According to ECMT classification, this group encompasses twelve Central and Eastern European Countries and three Baltic States – Albania, Bulgaria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Czech Republic, Estonia, Croatia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, TFYR Macedonia, Poland, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro, Slovakia, and Slovenia; the second group is composed of six members of the Commonwealth of Independent States – Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Republic of Moldova, Russian Federation, and Ukraine.

^{46.} Rail, road and inland waterways.

increased by the following percentages: Albania, 154 per cent; Bulgaria, 47 per cent; Croatia, 213 per cent; Czech Republic, 247 per cent; Latvia, 28 per cent; Lithuania, 44 per cent; Poland, 165 per cent; Romania, 107 per cent; Slovakia, 67 per cent; and Slovenia, 120 per cent. 47

On the contrary, in the CIS countries, in which road freight transport volumes decreased substantially during the 1990s, the number of trucks decreased sharply from 1990 to 1995 and then remained stable. Between 1990 and 2002, the number of trucks decreased by 22.7 per cent in Azerbaijan, 46.4 per cent in Georgia, 66.0 per cent in Kazakhstan and 69.3 per cent in the Republic of Moldova. This had significant effect on road traffic volumes which did not grow as had happened in other countries of the region.

The increase in volumes of goods transport in urban areas has had a significant impact on traffic and parking conditions as well as on the environment. In addition, the latter is compounded by the technical characteristics of vehicles. There is high proportion of vehicles which are aged, of low technical standards and not properly maintained. As in other matters the situation between countries differs. The highest age of vehicles is noted in the CIS countries. For example, in Georgia, in 2006, over 70 per cent of trucks and light duty vehicles were older than 15 years. Similar percentages in Uzbekistan and Moldova were 64 per cent and 56 per cent respectively. 48

The situation has worsened by goods transport inefficiency. In most countries, much of the freight traffic movements within urban areas are not economically efficient, due to low load factors. This increases road traffic volumes and means that efficiency from an environmental point of view is also relatively low. This has serious consequences since urban areas are particularly sensitive to emissions and noise problems. In many cities of the region actions are taken to reduce negative impacts of freight traffic on human and natural environment. The case of Moscow (Russia) is an example of a complex solution (see Box 3 below). Other cases are discussed in Chapter 9.

Box 3. Traffic control in Moscow, Russia

In Moscow, in 2002, a restriction on the entrance to the central part of the city (within the Garden Ring-road) in the daytime for all vehicles with carrying capacity over 1 tonne was introduced. This restrictive measure did not include vehicles of operational and emergency services, special vehicles of urban services dealing with street cleaning as well as trucks for international transport as indicated in shipping documents. In 2004, the zone was expanded to the Third Transport Ring with a pass entry system implemented for trucks needed for daytime deliveries. In 2009, the procedure for getting a day delivery pass to enter the centre of the city was simplified. A pass issued to small enterprises is valid within one year, with no route or time specification. From September 2008, the central part of the city within the Third Transport Ring is open exclusively for trucks meeting Euro-2 emission standards.

Source: 'Freight Transport in Moscow, Autobusiness, No. 5-6/2010, pp109-110.

6.2.1. Logistics operations

In transitional countries there is a growing understanding that increased goods transport efficiency can be achieved and impact on the environment and living conditions reduced through development of **freight transport logistics**. In many countries plans have been prepared to promote the development of large inter-modal terminals and logistic centers serving all categories of goods transport: international, national and local. In national spatial

Donchenko, 2008.

^{47.} UNECE, 2010.

and transport development plans locations of such centres have been proposed. However, there are only few cases when such plans have been implemented, because of inadequate coordination of actions undertaken between public and private sectors and limited financial resources in the public sector.

But there are many cities, most often large cities and metropolitan areas in which small and medium scale storage and warehousing facilities, as well as logistics and distribution centres have been created. They proved to be a successful investment. In many cases they were built and are operated by large, international companies and serve road transport only. Only some of them enable consolidation of goods delivery, which increases efficiency of the system. With increasing demands for frequent and just-in-time delivery, and with limited capacity of urban road infrastructure and environmental demands, the consolidation of goods is one of the best ways of improving the efficiency of goods transport and of achieving the objectives of sustainable urban transport.

The situation in CIS countries deserves special attention. Freight forwarding, warehousing and other logistics-related services have been privatized almost entirely in these countries. But 10 years after the transition period started these countries were behind other Central and Eastern European countries that managed to start market consolidation and international cooperation much earlier. 'Compared to international standards the supply of these services is poor, and the quality of the services is often low. The freight forwarding industry's own associations are weak, if they exist at all. In Kyrgyz Republic, Turkmenistan and Tajikistan, for example, there is no single FIATA recognized association. Forwarders lack international experience and the sector has not yet grown mature. Only a few forwarders are able to offer a full and global service to their clients. The legal framework is also weak and international standards are not yet incorporated.' This situation is gradually changing but that is still not satisfactory.

6.3. Impacts of commercial goods transport and challenges

A visible impact on traffic conditions (congestion, accessibility, worsening traffic conditions from parked goods vehicles delivering goods, etc.) and on the environment have attracted public attention in many countries of the region. Restrictions in access or even elimination of goods vehicles were demanded and introduced in some cities.

However, very often there has been a serious lack of awareness of the benefits of urban goods transport, which is crucial for maintaining the economic and social functioning of cities. Awareness of urban goods transport still seems to be rather one-sided, focusing more on negative impacts and problems it creates rather than on its importance. This has lead to a negative perception of the importance of urban goods transport.

This was confirmed by surveys completed in 6 of the new EU countries (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, Slovenia and Slovakia),⁵⁰ which joined the EU BESTUFS project⁵¹ in 2003. A city inquiry on 'issues, requirements and innovative measures for transport and delivery of goods in urban areas' was carried out in 23 cities of various sizes. Opinions were expressed by the city authorities. The results of the survey are summarized as follows:

^{49.} Molnar and Ojala, 2003.

^{50.} Countries applying to access the European Union.

^{51.} Best Urban Freight Solutions – EC funded thematic network in the 5th framework program; more information on http://www.bestufs.net/.

- Twelve of 23 cities did not have, in the city office, any person being in charge of urban freight planning.
- The main problems concerning urban freight transport were ranked as follows:
 - > environmental problems;
 - the damage of the road surface by heavy good vehicles;
 - ➤ the disruption of traffic by heavy good vehicles, especially in cities where bypass roads are lacking.
- List of week points included:
 - weakness of the statistical data on urban freight transport acquisition and methods of data analysis;
 - ➤ inadequate information to goods transport professionals, drivers, retailers on existing rules and regulations;
 - available pickup/delivery areas parking spaces for goods vehicles, transit itineraries, etc.;
 - inadequate integration of urban freight in town planning and landuse/infrastructure planning;
 - > e-commerce is not (yet) a main issue to be dealt with; and
 - ➤ from the so called 'technical measures' a sustainable vehicle technology is a more important issue than other innovative technologies (e.g. ITS).

On the basis of these opinions, it can be assessed that, at the beginning of the 21st century, some cities were not aware of the progress in logistics and city distribution systems. The possibilities of new technologies and innovations are underestimated or not well know. Nevertheless, in many cities of the region, various solutions were applied to cope with the problems created by goods transport.

The conflict between needs to serve the economy (production, distribution, etc.) and reduce negative impact on the environment and living conditions is difficult to solve. This is particularly difficult in transitional countries. There are examples of countries and cities which made and are making attempts to find solutions to meet conflicting objectives. But much more has to be done for sustainable development. And this is one of crucial challenges for all stakeholders.

As in other countries, in majority of cities in transitional countries, **transport of dangerous goods** has become a major concern. Dangerous goods include hazardous waste, gasoline, gas cylinders (propane, acetylene, etc.) and chemicals. Transport of these goods in urban areas has serious safety implications, and has become an increasingly important item on the political agenda.

In the whole of Europe, transport of dangerous goods is usually regulated by defining special routes and/or restricting access to certain areas by vehicles size. Vehicles transporting dangerous goods are often restricted from using designated bridges and tunnels. In such cases, alternative routes bypass dense urban areas. Wider application of these solutions to all countries of the region is amongst the main challenges.

7. Integrated Land Use and Transport Planning

7.1. Trends and conditions in integrated urban land-use and transport planning

In the past, in almost all transitional countries (i.e. centrally planned economies), there were strong, centralized planning systems. This included land-use planning and sectoral plans at all levels. While most decisions were based on political assumptions, there were practical tools to implement plans.

'Local, urban planning was strictly subordinate to the national economic plans and the local planners' chief role was to act as technical translators of the higher-level economic goals into the physical layout of cities. The ability of local authorities to challenge decisions made at higher government levels was rather limited. Regardless of the seemingly tight hierarchy of the planning system, however, it must be acknowledged that it did not function nearly as smoothly as communist theory would have it. On the contrary, national as well as local plans were routinely ignored for a variety of reasons, from shortage in financial resources to bureaucratic inertia, and from weak plan-monitoring mechanisms to lack of transparency and accountability in government plans and actions'. 52

In many cases, because of the absence of markets for land use, functions like living and working were located far from each other. This resulted in the need for long home-to-work trips, which were served by cheap and dependable public transport. But there were cases such as Warsaw (Poland) and Skopje (TFYR Macedonia), where coordinated land-use/transport/infrastructure plans were elaborated and implemented (at least partially). In these plans one of main objectives was to optimize the cost of the development (investment) of infrastructure (transport, water supply, sanitation, etc.) and operation (including costs of transport). ⁵³

In spite of weaknesses of urban planning, according to some opinion:

'communist urban forms were by many measures more environmentally friendly and, thus, more sustainable than capitalist urban forms.... They were more compact and had smaller ecological footprints; they were high-density and had a clear urban edge rather than sprawling and mono-functional suburban-type peripheries; they had better integrated land uses and were less socially polarized; they had abundant parks and greenbelts; and, they had reliable public transit systems. Ironically, all these aspects of the communist city are hallmarks of urban sustainability....most of them were lost during the post communist transition.'54

The end of communism had strong impact on planning at all levels.

'The socio-economic context within which urban planning operates was radically reformed. As state-owned assets, urban land, real estate (including housing) and means of production were privatized, urban development became the prevue of multiple parties: not just the once all-powerful public authorities, but also private owners, builders, developers, citizens, non-profit organizations and other interest groups. '55

^{52.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p.33.

^{53.} So called *Warsaw Optimization Method* developed in early 1960s was applied with successes in Skopje (Yugoslavia), and other foreign cities.

^{54.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009. p.63.

^{55.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p.36.

Political and economic liberalization, privatization as well as decentralization of decision making (self-governance) led to the reduction of the role of urban development plans. Necessary:

'legal reforms regarding urban spatial planning were a rather low priority and thus lagged behind..... A new generation of more coherent planning laws, which better reflected new free-market realities, did not come about until the second half of the 1990s'. ⁵⁶

The weaknesses of the planning system resulted into a large amount of 'illegal' constructions. For example, in Albanian capital of Tirana, about 25 per cent of all new housing was illegal. In Belgrade (Serbia) about 50 per cent of all housing production was 'illegal' and 'sub-standard' in 1997. Moscow and Kazakhstan are examples of cases of cities and nations where government authority remained strong and money abundant.

In spite of unfavourable conditions, in most countries of the region master and local regulatory plans are still elaborated and approved by local authorities. Usually they are coordinated with transport development strategies/plans. However, most of these plans are heavily influenced by the market forces and local inhabitants and communities. Urban sprawl is one of consequences crucial for transport system efficiency.

'In some Eastern European countries, the rate of suburbanization has surpassed that of cities in Western Europe. The latest studies of land-cover changes on the continent have ranked cities in Estonia, Latvia, Croatia, Slovakia, Poland, Hungary, and Bulgaria among the most sprawling urban areas in Europe.'57

A considerable share of developments takes place in areas not well served by public transport system. In suburban areas low-density housing is located far apart from the stations of existing, underutilized and deteriorated suburban railway. There is also strong tendency to locate housing and other activities sensitive to negative impact of heavy vehicular traffic in proximity of existing and planned major roads.

There is a long list of negative impacts of these development trends on the economy, environment, as well as transport demand and quality. The low-density pattern of suburban growth coupled with a suburban lifestyle (e.g. heavy reliance on personal modes of transportation) has made organizing public transport service to the growing suburban areas a difficult task. A high proportion of trips (including commuting) is made by private car. Reduced demand for public transport is not sufficient to provide good standard services without increase of deficit. This contributed to the erosion of the social fabric of the transitional cities. However, the social and gender implications of urban sprawl have gone largely unnoticed. This issue will be discussed in Chapter 8.

In general, in most transition countries processes of urban and transport transformations are definitely not consistent with the concept of sustainable development. Nevertheless, even in cases where such land-use/transport policies have been adopted, there are problems with their implementation. And in transition countries where a legal framework has been created for land-use and transport planning, the coverage of urban areas with local land-use plans is still low. This allows development in directions which are not favourable from the point of view of efficient land-use transport structure.

-

^{56.} http://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/country_profiles/en/index.html.

^{57.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009, p.87.

Figure 8. Access control, Belgrade (Serbia) and Ljubljana (Slovenia)







Photo: EU START: Future solutions for goods distribution project.

7.2. Impacts and challenges of integrated urban land-use and transport planning

The above analysis of the situation in transitional countries leads to the following conclusions:

- Political changes, the growing role of market forces, and ineffective legal framework for land-use planning and infrastructure investment have significantly reduced the possibilities for integrated land-use and transport planning and development.
- In many countries attempts are made to modify legislation and create measures which will allow this situation to change; there is also international co-operation such as R&D projects (for example EU projects); however, the effects of these efforts, so far, are limited.

To change this situation and implement sustainable urban and transport development principles a collaborative public effort is needed, involving cooperation at all levels of government following a clearly established and agreed upon set of sustainable development objectives.

In the majority of transitional countries, the following challenges of integrated land-use and transport planning are visible:

- How to avoid development of housing and other traffic generating activities in areas not or poorly served by transport (roads and public transport)?
- How to encourage mixing of land-uses (residential, employment, services, and recreation) aimed at reducing the transport demand intensity of the city structure (need for long distance travel)?
- How to encourage concentration of activities in areas/corridors well served by mass public transport?
- How to avoid location of housing and other activities requiring good environmental conditions (low noise level, low air pollution, etc.) along major roads (e.g. preventing observed practice of the development of residential buildings appearing along newly built by-pass roads)? In transitional countries control of development from this point of view appears extremely difficult.
- How to use investment in transport infrastructure as a tool to regulate urban growth in desirable directions, according to principles of sustainable development?
- How to co-ordinate urban growth and transport development in administrative units in metropolitan areas which are divided into independent entities?

8. Social Sustainability of Urban Transport

Social aspects of transport in urban areas are considered taking into account: urban transport accessibility and affordability, gender issues, treatment of mobility impaired people and urban transport safety and security.

8.1. Impact of urban transport on living conditions

8.1.1. Urban transport accessibility and affordability

In the region, before the transition period, accessibility of local public transport was one of the major goals. At the beginning of the transition period, in most Eastern Europe countries, the reduction of deficit of public transport was placed amongst the major objectives of economic policy. The first practical way to solve the financial problems of mass transport was to improve cost recovery through increasing fares. The relation between petrol price and public transport fares have changed unfavourably for public transport. Raising fares and the growth of motorization have caused the shift of passengers from public to private transport. For lower income groups the affordability of public transport was reduced. ⁵⁹

To summarize, urban sprawl, car-oriented development and lowering the accessibility and affordability of public transport were exacerbating social and economic disparities in countries of the region. The experience of most developed countries, especially United States, has shown that 'dispersed, car-oriented transport investment and suburbanization, ... by ignoring the needs of those with limited access to cars' causes 'urban transport divide'. This was the basis for statement that 'rapidly urbanizing and motorizing regions can learn from this experience.' 60

8.1.2. Age and disability

As in other regions of the world, in transitional countries the number of mobility impaired people is rapidly increasing, first of all because of an aging population. Moreover, demographic forecasts demonstrate clearly that the proportion of elderly will further grow. Additionally, older people are also travelling more. This is caused by increasing access to cars. For those without access to a car, provision of transport services (standard public transport and various forms of personalized collective transport) is essential. Access to the automobile gives better opportunities to travel but there are problems relating to decreasing capabilities to drive. At the 9th International Conference on Mobility and Transport for Elderly and Disabled People, ⁶¹ **life after driving** was one of warmly discussed issues relating to aging societies. ⁶² In any case, needs of elder people have to be taken into account in planning and organizing transport to the same extend as needs of other groups of mobility impaired people.

There could be a conflict between two objectives: (i) improving quality of life for the elderly through enabling them to travel in the most convenient way and (ii) reducing negative impact of transport on the environment by eliminating unnecessary travelling in general and, more specifically, through reducing dependence on the automobile; those are the basic objectives of sustainable transport policy.

^{58.} Suchorzewski, 2005.

^{59.} See section 3.1.

^{60.} UN-Habitat, 2010.

^{61.} TRANSED, 2001.

^{62.} Suchorzewski, 2002.

However, in travel behaviour forecasts, the present travel habits of the currently elderly cannot be directly used for predictions. This is especially true in case of the now motorizing societies where 'currently elderly, particularly women, never drove'. This won't be the case in the future. In Western European countries older men and women are now making much more daily trips than comparable groups of elders made 15–20 years ago. Their trips are also longer now. The same can be expected in the future in transition countries where motorization is rapidly growing.

8.1.3. Gender issues

In all new EU member states and some other countries of the region women's rights are legally protected by law and control mechanisms and ombudsman institutions ensure that the law is observed. There is no difference between access of men or of women to public transport and driving licenses. However, a division of labour by gender still exists. For example, this is common in regard to bus, tram and metro drivers. Only in some countries, for example Poland, there is an equal treatment of women in the employment policy of transport operators.

The gender issues are still crucial in some Central and Western Asia countries where serious impacts on the treatment of women in transport occur: tradition, the patriarchal family system, religion (majority of population is Muslim, which decides women's role and employment possibilities) and political and historical transformations in these countries. Clear differences in access to transport services can be seen there (see Box 4).

Box 4. Gender issues in Tajikistan and Uzbekistan

In Tajikistan the constitution gives men and women equal rights. But Islamic law (95 per cent of Tajiks are Muslim) assigns all authority and power to men. There is no formal discrimination in the employment of women. However, tradition says that at large gatherings men and women should be separated. It means that commuters of both genders try to choose seats in public transport vehicles on the opposite sides. Similar behaviour is observed in public transport in Azeri cities. In Uzbekistan, a very strong patriarchal and Muslim tradition affects the role of women within the family and within society in general. There are no legal restrictions on women's freedom of movement, but it is estimated that nearly one-third of Uzbekistani women need permission from their husbands (or other male family members) to go to the market, or visit a neighbour alone. But there are countries such as Georgia, Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan where women are not physically separated from men.

Source: http://genderindex.org/country/uzbekistan.

In most Balkan countries the patriarchal tradition is still strong. This affects very clearly gender issues, related to transport – both on issues of employment opportunities in this sector, as well as access to transport services. In Croatia, TFYR Macedonia and Serbia gender does not block career possibilities or freedom of movement. But this freedom of movement is restricted to some extent by tradition in Albania.

Gender issues are less critical in the new EU member states. In Poland, there are public transport companies employing women as bus or tram drivers. They are highly valued because of safer and more economic driving style and better relations with passengers.

Last but not least, impact of changes in urban spatial structures deserves mentioning. The process of suburbanization, not only caused environmental degradation but also contributed to the erosion of the social fabric of the transitional cities. The social and gender implications of urban sprawl have gone largely unnoticed. Nevertheless, lower standard of services (network of low density, low frequency, etc.) means that accessibility of public transport for some family members is often restricted. It has been proved that:

'women are affected unequally by post-communist suburbanization, because of women's more limited mobility patterns and heavier reliance on public transport. They have greater difficulties in accessing urban jobs and services than men.' 63

8.1.4. Safety

This topic was briefly discussed in Chapter 2. Limited data are available for urban areas. From data concerning capital cities of EU countries⁶⁴ the conclusion can be drawn that road fatality rates for these cities are twice lower than in the rest of the country. 'This is because although road crashes in cities are more frequent than elsewhere, they are less violent due to lower travelling speed and thus result in less fatal injuries'. ⁶⁵ This is also true for capital cities of transitional countries being the new EU members.

From the data on distribution of road deaths by road user group it is seen that in some capital cities of transitional countries (Budapest, Prague, Riga, Sofia, Tallinn, and Warsaw) the share of pedestrians is extremely high, in the order of 50–60 per cent.⁶⁶

While cycling is not very popular in most transition countries, the share of cyclist in the total amount of death victims of traffic accidents is astonishingly high. For example, in Belarus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia, Poland, Serbia and Slovakia is in the order of 9–10 per cent and in Hungary even 11.7 per cent (see Table 4).

8.1.5. Security

In new EU member states and some other transitional countries with a low rate of violent crime, pick pocketing and bag snatching still occurs. In major cities organized groups of thieves operate at major tourist destinations, in train stations, and on trains, trams, and buses.

Similarly, in countries of Central and Western Asia, where public transport vehicles are often very crowded, the personal security of public transport passengers is affected mainly by pick pocketing and purse snatching. In addition, in some countries – such as Armenia, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, because of political tensions economic crises and ethnic conflicts – demonstrations are common. They may reduce security of all public space users. A substantial risk for renewed political and ethnic violence exists, particularly in southern Kyrgyzstan. In Azerbaijan and Tajikistan there is still danger of terrorist attacks.

8.2. Policy responses

8.2.1. Accessibility and affordability

In most transitional countries, especially in the new EU member states, assessment of urban transport accessibility is made regularly as a part of the transport planning process. Usually, it is expressed by checking the percentage of the population living within determined distance from the public transport stop (for example, 300 or 500 meters). Meeting criteria of accessibility for, e.g. 90 or 95 per cent citizens is a prime premise in planning routes of public transport means.

^{63.} Hirt and Stanilov, 2009.

^{64.} ETSC, 2008.

^{65.} ETSC, 2008.

^{66.} ETSC, 2009.

^{67.} See Table 4.

Fare privileges for selected groups of users (children, students, older and mobility impaired people) are common solutions. Some of them are introduced at the central level and, in this case, there is usually compensation for lost revenues provided from the national budget. If privileges are introduced by the local government, there is an obligation to compensate

In some countries, additional privileges are also granted to low-income groups. For example, in Tajikistan, the transition process and the war weakened social protection mechanisms, which contributed to rising poverty. Poverty reduction has become the primary objective of government policy. For example, in the government Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper issued in September 2002, the necessity to improve access to work and services for the poor was placed on the list of objectives. On the one hand, fare system was to be reformed:

'to enable operating companies to become financially viable'. On the other hand, it was stated that 'tariffs should be set to achieve full cost recovery' while 'affordability of services to the poor will be addressed either within the tariff structure or through separate targeted measures. 68

8.2.2. Gender issues

Unequal treatment of women in some countries is reflected, among other, through limited possibilities to travel. However, this is caused by factors not directly connected with the quality or organization of transport services. It is a consequence of tradition, the patriarchal family system and religion. In these circumstances, changing the situation cannot be done by including this aspect in national and local transport policy. General changes in overall policies are needed. This does not mean that some progress cannot be made in all countries. Equal treatment of women in employment drivers of public transport means and taxis, noticed in some countries, is an example.

8.2.3. Mobility impaired

In many transition countries policy is expressed by adopting national rules and formulating guidelines. In Poland a 'Multi-annual programme of gradual adaptation of public passenger transport to the disabled' was adopted in 1995. It was followed by adoption by the Parliament (in 1997) a Charter of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The transport strategy adopted in 2009 by the Warsaw City Council is an example of treatment of social aspects in city policy (see Box 5). In these and other countries various measures are applied to eliminate architectural barriers and adjusting public transport facilities and fleet to the needs of impaired people. There is also a standardized model of parking cards for disabled people, which is recognized in all EU countries. On roads and in car parks, parking places reserved for disabled people are marked with a wheelchair symbol. There are also other parking privileges for handicapped drivers

Such treatment of social aspects is common in large cities in the new EU member states and some Balkan countries. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities has so far been ratified by 15 of 28 countries of the region. ⁷⁰ In Moscow, where public transport is still not adjusted to needs of mobility impaired passengers, authorities decided that full standard access to all public transport in the city for disabled people will be provided by 2015. There are plans to make Sochi (Russia) a model city with high standards of

^{68.} Tajikistan, 2002.

^{69.} Warsaw, 1995.

^{70.} Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Turkmenistan and Ukraine.

accessibility, when the city hosts the 2014 Winter Olympics. No specific actions have been identified in other countries of Central and Western Asia. Unfortunately, in some cities of this part of the world, persons with disabilities are nearly invisible, for two reasons: limited accessibility of the world outside their homes and the social stigma associated with disabilities.

Box 5. The Warsaw Transport Strategy, 2009

Among six main objectives pursuant to the overall goal of the transportation policy, two were related to safety, security and the needs of impaired persons: (i) improving travel standards, including improved access to the transportation system by the disabled, (ii) improving traffic safety and the personal security of transportation system users. This is to be achieved through 'improvement in the comfort of waiting and travel, cleanliness, aesthetics, safety, and full access for the disabled'. All stops and stations should guarantee access to the disabled. Audits of transportation solutions in terms of the needs of the disabled shall concentrate on the safety of people with disabilities with respect to pavement use, with special emphasis on the movement of people with impeded eyesight.

Source: Warsaw, 2009.

8.2.4. Traffic safety

As was stressed earlier (see Table 4), mortality rates (number of deaths per 100,000 population) are extremely high in transitional countries. In the CIS countries the rates are nearly four times higher than in the Nordic countries. Kazakhstan, the Russian Federation and Kyrgyzstan are on the top of the list. Among the new EU countries, Lithuania and Latvia are the most 'unsafe' countries.⁷¹

From the beginning of the transition period various actions have been taken in countries which are now EU members. In built-up areas, a maximum urban speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour has been set instead of 60 kilometres per hour, which was common in Soviet block countries. Lower speed limits are used in residential areas (e.g. to 30 kilometres per hour). The police have started strict enforcement of speed limits. Additionally, the use of helmet for riders of all motorized two-wheelers has become obligatory. Moreover, traffic engineering solutions such as traffic-calming measures, upgraded marked pedestrian crossings are widely used. All this is resulted in the reduction of the accident and mortality rates in city areas. For example, in Bratislava, Bucharest, Sofia and Warsaw average annual percentage change in road deaths per 100,000 residents over the period 1997–2007 was in the order of 5–7 per cent while the average for the EU27 was 4.1 per cent. The period 1997–2007 was in the order of 5–7 per cent while the average for the EU27 was 4.1 per cent.

Because of the seriousness of the problem, various actions are taken by international organizations. For transition countries, joint UNECE and WHO efforts are of a great value. Actions include data collection and analysis and formulation of recommendations. In most countries of the region national policies to improve traffic safety have been formulated. However, at the local (city) levels this is less common.

8.2.5. Security

In EU new member states, to counter the present situation described in section 8.1, local authorities carry out comprehensive programmes to improve safety in urban and suburban transport and social campaigns, raising awareness of society and instructing how to act in emergency situations. In many cities trams, buses, railway stations and metro monitoring

^{71.} UNECE and WHO, 2008.

^{72.} ETSC, 2009.

systems have been installed. Municipal public transport operators often sign contracts with security companies in order to protect passengers of public transport around the clock, especially in means of transport that operate at night.

8.3. Challenges for future policy development

Urban development (urban sprawl) and car-oriented transport development exacerbated social and economic disparities in transition countries. There are possibilities to reduce or totally eliminate social gender, age and physical ability differences in transport access and mobility through actions and measures concerning the urban development and transport sector. Politicians and professionals are facing the following challenges:

- How to stop or reverse urban sprawl and car-oriented development of urban areas?
- What norms/standards of accessibility of public transport should be adopted having in mind the needs of mobility impaired citizens as well as economic and financial consequences of these standards?
- How much public transport fares should take into account the needs of low income users and which groups should be privileged through reduced fares?
- How to make transport infrastructure and services more friendly for mobility impaired users?
- How to improve traffic safety with special attention paid to the most vulnerable groups, being pedestrians and cyclists?
- How to improve security of transport users and providers?
- How to integrate transport-related psychological effects into sustainable urban transport policies?

In addition, in countries where uneven treatment of women is noticed, changing this situation in the transport belongs to the main challenges.

9. Urban Transport and the Environment

9.1. Impacts of transport on urban environment

Development and transformation processes described above have significant impacts on the urban environment. In following points focus is on air pollution and noise.

At the beginning of the transition period, due to the economic recession, **concentrations of air pollutant** in the CIS countries decreased. However, with economic recovery, this decline has ceased. In many large cities of CIS countries, transport is now the dominant source of air pollutants (more than 80 per cent of the total in capital cities Ashgabat, Dushanbe, Moscow, Tbilisi, Tashkent and Yerevan) and it is a major source in other large cities, such as Baku, Bishkek, Chisinau, Kiev and Minsk. Road transport in Russian cities accounts for 70–75 per cent of total air pollutants and more than 90 per cent in large city centres. Even though the use of leaded petrol was banned in the Russian Federation in 2003, almost all lead emissions were generated by road transport, due to the black market for leaded fuel. Recommendation of the recommendation

In Central and Eastern Europe, transport consumes about 22 per cent of total energy and in the CIS countries 17 per cent. Growing energy consumption caused that carbon dioxide (CO2eq) emissions from transport sector have also continued to increase.

High increase of **air pollution** has been caused not only by the growth of motorization and mobility. It was also increased by the low standard of vehicles, considerable part of which were used, aged vehicles imported from Western European and Eastern Asia countries.

In built-up areas of the transition countries the WHO guidelines for **noise** are often exceeded. In 2004, about 38 million people in the Russian Federation (out of a population of 144 million) were exposed to annoying levels of transport related noise. In Moscow, 60 to 80 per cent of the population lives in areas with levels of transport noise above WHO standards. Especially harmful is heavy traffic (with high proportion of very heavy vehicles) on national and regional roads, often in main international corridors, crossing small and medium size cities.

9.2. Policy responses

Policy responses of different countries can be divided into two categories: national and local. National responses have to take into account international regulations and recommendations (depending on their character). For the new EU member states, EU regulations are essential. In fact, some of these countries started to take the EU strategy for urban transport and the environment into consideration even before the start of accession process.

9.2.1. Impact of the EU policies, regulations and initiatives

The EU Strategy concerning urban transport and environment has been formulated in several policy documents such as the Citizen's Network Green Paper⁷⁵ and the subsequent Communication on Developing the Citizens' Network. Environmental objectives have been set as a priority in the urban transport policy agenda. The 'Communication on transport and

^{73.} All numbers are for the mid-2000s.

^{74.} UNECE and WHO, 2008.

^{75.} CEC, 1995.

^{76.} CEC, 1998a.

CO₂, 77 identified a series of urban specific measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In the 2001 White Paper 'European transport policy for 2010: time to decide'⁷⁸ breaking the link between growth of economy and transport intensity ('decoupling') was among the main objectives. In the 2006 mid-term review of the White Paper increasing use of clean vehicles and improvement of public transport were on the list of priorities. ⁷⁹ The Green Paper, titled 'Towards a new culture for urban mobility,' placed 'greener towns and cities with reduced impacts of traffic on the environment and on citizens' among five main challenges. 80 'The European Commission's Thematic Strategy on the Urban Environment' described a number of common environmental challenges and problems faced by most European conurbations. These issues comprise: 'poor air quality, traffic volumes and congestion, high levels of ambient noise, neglect of the built environment, high level of greenhouse gas emissions, social exclusion and urban sprawl.' 81 It was stressed that urban mobility contributes significantly to these pressures, and is why the development and implementation of sustainable urban transport plans was recommended. Guidance to help local authorities in preparing such plans was published in 2007. White Paper - 'Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area -Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system', published in 2011, concentrated on long-distance transport. In the short section devoted to transport in urban areas, the following policy directions have been mentioned: increasing population density, improving public transport and biking and walking conditions, promoting the use of clean vehicles and fiscal measures such as 'road pricing and the removal of distortions in taxation'. 82 Last but not least, environmental legislation setting up quality standards was also important for urban transport.

Among the main initiatives that have been launched at the EU level was the CIVITAS programme which started in 2002 in order to help realize innovative projects on clean urban transport across Europe. Many transition country cities have already benefited from this programme. Several EU supported R&D projects, included or concentrated on urban transport and environment issues.

All these actions are important not only for the new EU member states, but also for other countries of the region. Some of them are introducing similar standards or solutions. Transfer of knowledge/solutions from EU to remaining transition countries is supported by various technical assistance actions undertaken by non-governmental organizations such as the Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC). 83

9.2.2. Measures reducing air pollution

Air pollution standards in the UNECE region have been defined in the Convention on Longrange Transboundary Air Pollution and its eight protocols. Each Party to the Convention is required to develop relevant policies and strategies, including air-quality monitoring and management systems. Until 2007, all CIS and South-Eastern European countries had signed the Convention. Some countries have signed other acts regulating level of emissions of various pollutants.

For the EU countries, standards for air quality were formulated initially in the EU airquality framework directive (EC 1996/62) and its daughter directives. They have now been

CEC, 1998b. 77.

^{78.}

^{79.}

CEC, 2001. CEC, 2006. CEC, 2007a. 80.

CEC, 2005. 81

^{82.} CEC, 2011.

REC (2007) is a good example.

replaced by the EC 2008/50. These directives set out maximum acceptable levels of certain pollutants. For example the air-quality directives require member states to define the areas where limit values are exceeded and set programmes to attain the limit values within a time limit. And urban authorities are required to formulate local action plans wherever there is a risk of exceeding standards. In 2009 the directive on procurement (Directive 2009/33) aimed at the promotion of clean and energy-efficient road vehicles was adopted. Technical and legal measures implemented since 1990, such as the ban of lead petrol, a decrease in the sulphur content of fuels and emission standards for vehicles have led to reduction of some vehicle exhaust emissions in all EU countries, including new EU member states.

Several non-EU member countries undertook specific actions aimed to reduce negative impacts of road transport as well. It is done through renewing the vehicle fleet, regulating imports of vehicles, enforcing the use of catalytic converters, and improving and controlling more strictly vehicle maintenance. They are gradually applying the strategies and higher standards through improving fuel quality, phasing-out leaded petrol, reducing fuel sulphur content, introducing and strengthening vehicle emission regulations, and improving vehicle inspection and maintenance. The degree of implementation differs between countries but the overall effect is visible.⁸⁴ Adoption of the high EURO vehicle emission standards (EURO IV in 2010) by the Russian Federation and Ukraine was of a special importance.⁸⁵

In several cities local authorities introduced measures aimed at the reduction of air pollution (and traffic congestion) caused by road traffic. Limited access for heavy goods vehicles (permanent or at defined time) is a common measure. In most cases its aim is to reduce traffic congestion, but it serves also pollution control objectives. The case of Moscow, presented in Chapter 6, is a good example. Low emission zones is a less popular solution but has been introduced in some cities, such as Prague (see Box 6 below).

Box 6. Traffic control in Prague, Czech Republic

In the Czech Republic, local authorities were allowed to set up conditions for vehicle access to the city centre, both by regulating access of freight vehicles and by establishing access fees. The main aim of these measures is to protect the city centre from heavy traffic and regulate the movement of freight vehicles during peak hours. For example, in the capital city, Prague, two environmental zones with restricted access to inner centre and centre, were introduced:

- Inner centre: access restriction for vehicles with total weight over 3.5 tonnes in effect Monday to Friday (08:00–18:00), except for vehicles with permits issued by the city council.
- Centre: access restriction for vehicles with total weight over 6 tonnes in effect daily, except for vehicles with permits issued by the city council.

In 2003, in the framework of the CIVITAS project Trendsetter, the environmental zone for vehicles over 6 tonnes was extended to almost double size. Before/after analysis has shown the following reduction emissions from freight vehicles: particulate matter, 4.7 per cent; nitrogen oxide, 9.1 per cent; and carbon dioxide, 4.6 per cent.

Source: Kadlec and Šuta, 2007.

9.2.3. Noise control

In comparison to actions to reduce air pollution from transport (mostly road transport), actions concerning noise were undertaken with some delay. There are several relevant UNECE, WHO and EU agreements, but there are gaps in road transport regulations concerning noise.

^{84.} UNECE and WHO, 2008, p.49.

^{85.} Ban on production and import of vehicles not complying with EURO standard.

'A holistic and integrated approach to reducing human exposure to noise is lacking at the international level. The monitoring of noise exposure and the exchange of information among states are strongly handicapped by the large variety of noise indicators and assessment methods used in the different countries.' 86

Locally implemented measures mentioned earlier and aimed at the reduction of congestion and air pollution (access restrictions for vehicles of selected categories) are beneficial from the point of view of noise level control as well. But there are not sufficient to prevent noise problems in proximity of heavy road traffic, railways and airports.

In many transition countries the analysis of present and predicted noise levels in landuse/transport plans and transport projects is common practice. Measures such as acoustic screens are designed or controls on land use (e.g. housing) are implemented.

9.2.4. Other measures

Several other measures are not directly environmental. However, they indirectly serve environmental objectives. The list of these measured includes: demand management, fiscal measures (e.g. internalizing transport costs, congestion charging), land-use planning and wide application of technological innovations.

Demand management. Decoupling economic growth from transport intensity⁸⁷ is considered as one of most promising measures to reduce negative impacts of transport on the environment and energy consumption. It has been a subject of many EU R&D projects⁸⁸ and was placed on the list of EU policy objectives many years ago. It is also mentioned in national and local transport policies of some new EU member states.⁸⁹ However, in general, in transitional countries only limited progress has been made in implementing measures aimed to reduce the need to travel through land-use planning, mobility management, parking policies, pricing systems and other means of limiting car traffic in urban areas or the use of logistics and telematics systems (ITS) to reduce freight vehicle movements and empty runs.

Internalizing the external costs of transport. The existing transport price structures does not take into account costs of environmental damage and accidents. There is no real incentive to use the cleanest transport modes and/or less congested networks. ⁹⁰ Internalizing the costs of transport relies on placing monetary values on the associated externalities. This is a complicated issue due to the diverse nature of many of the health and environmental impacts. ⁹¹ But considerable progress has recently been made in developing the methodology for valuation of transport externalities, ⁹² creating the opportunity to begin implementation of this promising measure.

9.3. Challenges for future policy development

Analysis of the present situation and predictions of changes in transport demand and its impact on the environment gives a basis for identifying challenges to formulation of urban and transport policies. Some require action at the national level, others are the task of local

^{86.} UNECE and WHO, 2008.

^{87.} Measured with numbers of passenger-kilometres and tonne-kilometres.

^{88.} E.g. POSSUM (Policy Scenarios for Sustainable Mobility); project funded by EC, 1996–1998.

^{89.} E.g. National Transport Policies for Poland (2001 and 2005).

^{90.} UNECE and WHO, 2008.

^{91.} OECD, 2006.

^{92.} ECMT, 2003a.

authorities and society. Some challenges are region specific, other have a global character (and importance). For example, reducing transport dependence on coal and oil-based energy or reducing noise generated by vehicles are global challenges. However, reducing the negative impacts of transport on the environment and energy consumption at the local level depends, to a high degree, on: (i) transport intensity (passenger-kilometres, tonne-kilometres); (ii) modal share in serving passenger transport; (iii) effectiveness of freight transport system (logistics).

Decoupling economic grow th and growth of transport d emand can be encouraged through: (i) avoiding the urban sprawl observed in a majority of transition country cities; (ii) encouraging mixing of functions; (iii) changes in behaviour of urban citizens. ⁹³ Reversing the trends is probably the most challenging task for national and local governments.

Innovative transport technologies, such as alternative fuel and 'quiet' vehicles, will soon be widely available. Their wide introduction requires policy by central and local governments.

Rapidly growing dependence on private car a nd reduced share of p ublic transport has a negative impact on the human and natural environments as well on living conditions (congestion). Stopping and reversing this trend is another challenge. Improvement of the quality of public transport and introducing measures such as advanced traffic management and congestion charging are known as effective measures. Wider use of such measures in transition countries depends on political will.

Environmental impact of goods road transport serving the city can be reduced by wider development of **logistics systems**. So far, this is done only at the small scale. Changing this situation is another challenge.

Noise generated by transport is a major cause of nuisance in urban areas. Reducing noise levels in urban areas belongs to difficult tasks.

Development of transport infrastructure often **reduces open green spaces**. In the situation where there is a strong pressure to develop this infrastructure, ways of enforcing open green space standards have to be defined.

_

^{93.} E.g, through wider use of the Sustainable Mobility Planning described in section 9.2.

10. The Economics of Sustainable Urban Transport

10.1. Impacts of urban transport on cities' economies

Before the transition period, across the whole region economic and financial aspects of transport in urban areas were not considered as a basic premise to decisions concerning financing, investment, maintenance and operation of the transport system (infrastructure and public transport operation). It was fully acceptable that public transport was not financially self-sufficient. Low tariffs were the main reason for substantial operating deficits. Fares were kept at low, acceptable levels, because mobility was considered a common good and public transport, among others, ⁹⁴ was used as a tool for income redistribution.

At the beginning of the transition period, most Eastern Europe national policies concerning the economic/financial aspects of urban transport changed radically. Central governments practically withdrew from supporting cities in addressing problems of financing, capital investment, maintenance and operation of transport systems. The radical reduction of central government subsidies to public transport was of special importance. Cities now have to pay the entire operating subsidy for public transport (except for some short-distance suburban railroad services). In some countries **compensation** for reduction of farebox revenues arising from fare privileges for disadvantaged groups is paid by the government level which introduced the privileges.

The situation for capital subsidies has changed over time:

'In recognition of a desperate need to renew aging rolling stock and improve deteriorated rights of way, some central governments have established special infrastructure funds with varying degrees of modest assistance. In the Czech Republic, for example, the central government offered to cover 30 percent of vehicle and infrastructure costs for electric trams and trolley buses, and 10 percent of bus purchase and rehabilitation costs. As in many countries, however, the local Czech governments were not able to raise the necessary matching funds, and the central government could not afford to offer the promised contribution. Central government subsidy programs in most countries have been completely eliminated and those remaining are often revised, subject to the vagaries of annual budgets. Metro systems in the large capital cities Prague, Warsaw and Budapest receive some central government subsidies for extensions and modernization, but those special programs have varied from year to year according to annual parliamentary budget agreements. In general, the overall funding contribution of central governments is small and focused on rail projects. '95

In some countries, for example Poland, in the early 1990s cost recovery from fare revenues was increased through raising fares and introducing measures to improve the efficiency of public transport operators. Service agreements between municipal governments and public-owned operators, as well as competitive tendering of services to both public and private-owned operators were introduced. However, cost recovery in major cities appeared to be too low to generate sufficient funds for replacing and modernizing bus and tram fleets. It led to worsening of the quality of public transport and was another reason (after the growth of fares and car ownership rates) for undesirable modal shifts.

^{94.} Low rent rates and water and energy tariffs.

^{95.} Stead et al, 2008.

In the Russian Federation:

'railways losses on passenger services have been reduced by higher efficiency, by a more flexible pricing policy and by replacement of in-kind benefits with cash. Beginning in 2005, the train fare for passengers eligible for federal benefits when traveling on suburban trains, is being paid on a contractual basis by the Federal Agency for Healthcare and Social Development... The suburban passenger companies can be supported at least partly by Federal funding and partly by local funding on a continuing basis... Agreement has been reached on at least partial local support in a few areas (e.g. Moscow), but has not been reached in most areas because of a lack of funding at the local level. The Federal Government continues to support the losses associated with privileged travel that is mandated at the national level."

In other CIS countries the problem of financing of urban public transport was also not solved. For example, in Bishkek (Kyrgyzstan) at the end of the last decade:

'over 60 percent of the passengers of the public bus and trolleybus companies were privileged and travel either free or at a discount fares, which were insufficient to cover costs. The operators were not compensated for their losses and were unable to cover even their operating costs, let alone the maintenance and renewal of their dilapidated fleets.'

In Chisinau (Moldova) public transportation continues to be a drain on the municipal budget:

'Many problems with public transit in Chisinau stem from the old system that was in place under totally different economic conditions. Although the country has made the transition from a command economy to a free-market economy, the management and policies of the public transport system have not changed.... The efficient distribution of social protection and subsidies is hampered in Chisinau because the old Soviet system of granting extensions still holds sway. This means that decisions about who should receive subsidies for traveling on mass transit are not linked to economic need. The current mechanism for granting social subsidies in mass transit suffers from a series of shortcomings, including: (1) there is a lack of distinctly defined policies and mechanisms for implementing fare subsidies and for funding public transit; (2) the mechanisms for social protection and subsidizing fares is inappropriate to the current economic conditions."

In general, in the whole region urban public transport is still subsidised. Fares are kept at low, acceptable levels, because - with a high motorisation rates and inability to meet all demand for roads and parking facilities - one of main objectives of transport policy is to attract passengers to public transport.

Policy responses

EU regulations have a strong impact on urban transport financing in the new EU member states. Adjusting to these regulations started well before joining the EU. These regulations have also impact on other countries, first of all these being potential EU members. Directive 1370/2007⁹⁹ had a great impact on rephrasing principles of public transport financing. The

^{96.} ECMT, 2007a, pp. 14–16.

^{97.} CEC, 2007a.

^{98.} Guess, 2008, pp. 105–106.

^{99.} CEC, 2007b.

principle of regulated competition and public service obligation is crucial. Operators contracted by the transport authority can be subsidized (compensation) if they are providing services which (with the acceptable fare level) could not be provided without loss. But they can also provide services at commercial basis (without compensation). In most countries national legislation regulating collective public transport (national, regional, local) has already been amended.

International finance institutions have significant impact on incorporating economic efficiency aspects in national and local policies as well. This is done through both technical assistance and criteria used in selection of projects co-financed with loans. Nevertheless, it does not mean that projects which are not financially efficient are excluded. Even if the financial rate of return is negative, the project may still show a robust economic rate of return and meet the requirements of the EIB. ¹⁰⁰

In any case, international finance institutions have a generally positive impact on reformulating national and local policies in urban transport organizing and financing. For example, increased involvement of the EBRD in financing the urban transport sector in Russia was conditioned by progress in the development of supportive regulatory policy in this sector (e.g. ensuring the ability for urban transport companies to secure long-term contracts for servicing transport routes).

Moreover, funding projects through public-private partnerships is supported by the EIB. Thanks to EIB the number of projects funded through public-private partnerships is constantly increasing. The vast majority of the public-private partnerships lending of the EIB is in the transport sector, including urban transport.¹⁰¹

However, informal transport, which – at the beginning of the transition period – started to play an important role in many countries of the region, still operates in some of them. Policies adopted in the transition countries varies between total elimination of informal transport (in new EU member states) and accepting this form of transport to avoid loosing positive sides of it (e.g. Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, mentioned in section 4.2), such as providing services to areas not served by formal public transport; self-financing (also because of effective operation) and employment generation.

In transition country cities, pricing is limited to parking fees, which is popular in many cities. Riga (Latvia) and Znojmo (Czech Republic) are the only cities where cordon (old city area) pricing has been introduced. However, in several cities the introduction of congestion charging has been placed on the list of policy measures which will be applied in the more distant future. For example, it was mentioned in the Warsaw transport policy document adopted in 1995, and was repeated in the last policy document adopted in 2009. However, the date of implementation has not yet been defined.

10.2. Challenges for future policy developments

In transition economies, challenges for future policy developments are related to the main issues of financing, development and maintenance/operation. These issues are listed below:

- With an eye on the ecological and social aspects of public transport and its importance in alleviating congestion, how far should public transport be subsidised?
- How should limited financial means be shared between public transport and roads?

101. EIB, 2009.

^{100.} EIB, 2009.

• There is enormous social/political pressure to improve transport in cities through heavy investment in new infrastructure, primarily roads; the question is how to share resources between new infrastructure and rehabilitation/maintenance/operations?

However, transport pricing structure is the most challenging issue. The existing transport price structures generally fail to reflect all the costs of infrastructure, congestion, environmental damage and accidents. There is no real incentive to use the cleanest modes or less congested networks. ¹⁰² As it was mentioned in point 9.2.4., there are high potentials in internalizing the costs of transport which relies on placing monetary values on the associated externalities. Methods for valuation of transport externalities are available. This and other tools (such as technologies of electronic fee collection systems) are available. **The main question** is: should pricing such as congestion charging (cordon pricing, bridges/tunnels fees, etc.) be introduced in large cities? And if yes, when? It is obvious that the answer to this question is crucial not only for transition countries.

102. EIB, 2009.

11. Urban Transport Institutions and Governance

Information on and assessment of the present situation with regard to institutions and governance structures has been presented in earlier chapters. In this chapter an overall look at these topics is made with emphasis on impacts of transformation processes on urban transport, policy responses and challenges.

11.1. Impacts of transformation in institutions and governance on urban transport

In transitional countries significant changes in the institutional structures and governance of transport in urban areas have been taking place. They are caused by three main processes: democratization, privatization and decentralization. The combined effects were both positive and negative. The transformation of the construction industry and the organization of public transport are good examples. Competition in the construction industry increased the effectiveness of transport infrastructure investment and its maintenance.

In the past the public sector was totally responsible for providing **public transport** services by publicly owned operators. The central government was very active as the supervising entity. At the local level there was a lack of separation of functions (organizer and operator). In many cities the functions of public transport organizer and of service provider were integrated in one agency (for example a municipal transport company). Generally, public transport had strong support from the central government (financial, legal, etc.). Then at the beginning of transition period, in many countries, the process of separating urban public transport organizing and operation was initiated. In many cities urban public transport authorities have been created and a process of privatization has started. There were two ways of urban public transport restructuring. First, transformation of publicly owned operators, and second, creation of new private companies.

Privatization of a considerable part of the former publicly owned transport operators, and the opening of the market for new service providers, improved the chances of increasing the effectiveness and efficiency of public transport operations which had been absorbing a considerable part of city financial resources. At the same time, more complicated decision-making stretched the process of project implementation and service providing. Tendering additionally lengthened the duration of infrastructure construction processes. Increased requirements concerning environmental aspects of transport contributed to this as well.

Decentralization was expressed, amongst other things, in transferring total responsibility for regional and local infrastructure and public transport to regional and local governments. This led to central governments ending assistance to local governments in coping with problems such as deteriorating of infrastructure, congestion, traffic safety or tackling environmental problems. There is reluctance of state governments to formulate national urban development strategies or to finance R&D works and providing technical assistance. It was assumed that cities should be able to manage their own problems.

This approach was critically assessed, amongst others by the World Bank. The opinion was expressed that in some countries (e.g. in Poland) 'the State has gone too far in decentralizing all public transport responsibilities to the cities and has not faced squarely the complicated issues related to urban roads and traffic issues'. ¹⁰³ It has been recommended that the ministry responsible for transport 'should build its capacity to assist cities in making strategic decisions, in areas such as competitive tendering, subsidy reform, road and public

^{103.} Word Bank, 1999.

transport investment policies, and road use pricing, as well as getting access to capital in the period before cities reach financial self-sufficiency.'

In many countries of the region (e.g. Latvia, Lithuania,) 'the municipal sector remains fragmented and suffers from poor administrative capacity. Despite extensive investment and operational needs, there is limited private sector participation in municipal sectors including water and sewage, urban transport and in the development of state transport infrastructure.' 104

The situation in Russia and other CIS states is similar. In the early 1990s, with abandonment of central planning and governing, municipal governments have been given full responsibility for these services, but could not provide the requisite subsidies. Furthermore, weak cooperation between independent local governments is observed. It is particularly harmful for effective solving of transport problems in agglomerations (metropolitan areas) in which good cooperation between central city and suburban municipal governments is crucial.

11.2. Policy responses to the challenges of urban transport institutions and governance

Actions and solutions implemented or planned in transitional countries for improving the performance of urban transport institutions and for enhancing governance can be divided into following categories:

- determining institutional and regulatory framework of urban transport planning and operation;
- policy formulation (character of document, scope, way of approving etc.);
- organizational structure (for example integration of urban road and public transport authorities);
- agreements and delegation of authority, for example, authorizing local government to manage roads of all categories (national, regional, local) located within the city boundaries; and
- agreements between several local governments (authorities) in metropolitan areas to govern selected regional transport subsystems (for example through establishment of metropolitan transport authority).

In transition countries the regulatory framework for urban transport planning and operation is rather weak. Of course, general rules concerning public institutions and enterprises, public finances etc. are used, but there is often a lack of: (i) national regulations concerning the structure of local governments responsible for transport, and (ii) uniform planning procedures similar to that concerning land-use planning. In spite of the lack of national regulations concerning transport planning, the central governments, regional governments and many cities are elaborating short, medium and long term transport plans or transport strategy documents. And, in the last years, these plans usually take into account principles of sustainable urban and transport development.

In Poland the formulation of policies with regard to cities and local transport is not considered as the responsibility of the central government. The national transport policy document 106 recommends adoption of sustainable transport policies which assume, amongst

105. Rat, 2004.

^{104.} EBRD, 2008.

^{106.} Suchorzewski, 2005.

other things: priority for public transport and pedestrian and bicycle traffic along with limits on car use in selected zones (city centres and some high density areas); parking policy measures (parking charging, limiting number of parking spaces) and applying fiscal measures, in the first phase parking charging, in the second congestion pricing. Urban transport policy should be encouraged and enabled by national financial and fiscal policies as well by promotion and education. **Mobility management** is mentioned amongst the most important measures. It should lead to the reduction of travelling and, in relation to trips which are made, to choosing public transport or non-motorized means of travelling.

Furthermore, the location of generators of traffic and the limiting of parking capacity are mentioned as critical elements of urban development strategies influencing transport intensity and modal split. It was stressed that the development of large commercial centres (hypermarkets with accompanying shops and services) in suburban areas (located along main radial highways and poorly served by public transport) have a negative impact on travel behavior patterns, reinforce urban sprawl and, generally collide with sustainable development policies.

Efforts made in some CIS countries are also worth mentioning. In Uzbekistan, the government has initiated a number of important reforms in the urban public transport sector. They include the separation of regulatory and operational responsibilities in the provision of services; corporatization and privatization of bus companies; introduction of competition through adoption of a programme of bus route franchising and development of an appropriate regulatory framework; and creation of an enabling environment for private sector participation in the provision of transport services. The Government's strategy in the transport sector included establishing an appropriate policy, legal, and regulatory framework for the sector. However, although some progress has been achieved on the economic side, there was no improvement in Uzbekistan's political environment and the prospects for a quick political liberalization remain remote. ¹⁰⁷

In the Russian Federation, the process of establishing distinct companies for managing suburban and regional passenger entities is fully underway. Thus far, ten suburban and regional passenger companies (Moscow and Moscow Region; St. Petersburg; Altai, Primorye, and Krasnoyarsk territories; and Sverdlovsk, Volgograd, Novosibirsk, Omsk and Kemerovo regions) have been established. On 15 March 2006, the federal government established procedures for licensing these companies to operate services, and for licenses the above ten companies have been granted. ¹⁰⁸

The first urban transport strategies by Croatian cities were adopted and are being implemented in Pula and Dubrovnik.

Rules formulated by the European Commission for co-financing of qualifying projects by EU funds have a positive impact on formulating national and local transport policies in new EU member states. Adoption of such a policy or strategy is among the requirements for project approval. Accompanying environmental impact assessment is needed as well.

Recent EU regulations concerning public transport¹⁰⁹ have obliged EU transition countries to regulate the contracting of public service transport. For some governments, this was an incentive to create a legal framework for transport planning. For example, in Poland, the Public Transport Act of 2010 obliged certain levels of government to elaborate a so-called 'transport plan' (see Box 7 below).

^{107.} EBRD, 2005.

^{108.} ECMT, 2007a.

^{109.} CEC, 2007a, Directive 1370/2007.

Box 7. Public Transport Act of 19 December 2010, Poland

In case of contracting public transport operators, cities and counties with population exceeding given limits and metropolitan areas are obliged to prepare 'sustainable development transport plan' (transport plan). Such a plan must contain: traffic demand forecasts, public transport network with preferred transport means, principles of organizing and financing public transport (in case of public service obligations with pre-defined standards of services). Plan has to be coordinated with land-use plans and be supplemented by the environment impact assessment). Local governments are obliged to elaborate and approve such plans till the end of the year 2013.

Source: Public Mass Transport Act of 16 December 2010 (Ustawa z dnia 16 grudnia 2010 r. o publicznym transporcie zbiorowym).

11.3. Challenges of urban transport institutions and governance

On the basis of analysis of the present situation, the following challenges were identified:

- How to achieve a compromise between the independence of local governments and their organizations/institutions, and the necessity of meeting the requirements and principles of national/global policy (subsidiarity principle)?
- How to ensure coordination between various units of local government (for example road authority, public transport authority, land-use planning and other bodies and units) to find good solutions to often conflicting objectives?
- How to ensure coordination between governing bodies of specific sector of the transport system (for example national, regional and local road authorities)?
- How to ensure good cooperation between governing institutions, the public and non-governmental organizations in solving conflicts?
- How to improve the governance / management of the municipal sector which, in many countries of the region, remains fragmented and suffers from low administrative capacity?

12. Towards Sustainable Urban Transport

12.1. Trends and challenges

There are significant differences between groups of countries of the Eastern and South-Eastern Europe and Western and Central Asia. But there are some common features of transformations of urban structures and transportation. Suburbanization (urban sprawl) is one of the most important processes affecting transport provision. Motorization was and still is growing faster than can be explained by disposable income level. Growing congestion has forced governments to devote more attention to investing in roads rather than in public transport. Relatively efficient – in pre-transition period – public transport systems have tended to fall into decline. There are cities and transport companies in the region which have managed to cope with financing problems and improved their services substantially. This was noticed mostly in the new EU member states (e.g. Budapest, Krakow, Ljubljana, Prague, and Tallinn). However, 'in summary, urban sprawl, car-oriented development and lowering the accessibility and standard of public transport have been exacerbating social and economic disparities in countries of the region.' Strong, negative impacts on the environment and extremely high road accident rates are another features of the present situation.

General objectives of sustainable urban transport in the whole UNECE-WHO European Region¹¹¹ have been formulated in the Amsterdam Declaration, which was adopted in January 2009.¹¹² In transition countries, some of them are especially difficult to meet. Assessment of the present situation served as a basis for identifying main challenges:

- **Urban sprawl**: How to stop it, or at least slow it down?
- Uncontrolled motorization growth: How to reverse the process of fleet aging (import of old, used vehicles) and promote environmentally friendly vehicles?
- **Urban roads congestion**: To what extent it should be reduced?
- **Deteriorated transport infrastructure in cities**: How to share scarce resources between investing in new infrastructure and maintenance, rehabilitation of existing infrastructure?
- Limited resources for the development and operation of public transport: How to increase attractiveness of public transport through promoting the most effective and efficient transport means? How to reform informal transport and not loose its positive features at the same time?
- **Social inequality, gender issues**: With great differentiation of incomes, how to provide access to public transport for low-income groups?
- **Dramatic**, **low level of safety**: How to reduce rates of fatalities, especially for the most vulnerable urban citizens: pedestrians and cyclists?
- Low support for local governments from the central level: Which forms of assistance should be used?

^{110.} Bruggeman, 2004.

^{111.} UNECE-WHO European Region encompasses 54 countries, among them all 28 countries dealt with in this report.

^{112.} UNECE and WHO, 2010.

12.2. Recommended practices, policies and strategies

The characteristics of individual cities differ considerably across the region, so there is no one universal set of policies and measures which would alleviate identified problems and ways of improvement. The choice of most appropriate measures may be made from the ones described below. Most of them have already been successfully implemented in some countries of the region:

Demand management. Decoupling of economic growth and transport intensity is considered as one of the most promising measures to reduce negative impacts of transport. Demand management can be implemented through land-use planning, mobility management, parking policies, developing pricing systems and other means of limiting road traffic in urban areas and by broader use of logistics and telematics systems. It has been mentioned in the national and local transport policies of some EU new-member states. Whilst, so far, limited progress has been made in this area, demand management should be placed on the top of urban and transport development policies.

Impact on modal choice, for example stopping the growth of share of road transport and shifting to a more sustainable means of transport (public transport, railways) is another objective of demand management. Generally, it can be achieved through measures listed in the following points.

Properly formulated and implemented **land use/development policy** is one of the most effective ways of reducing urban sprawl and resulting transport demand. The Global Report on Human Settlements 2009 stated that 'strategic spatial plans linked to infrastructure development can promote more compact forms of urban expansion focused around public transport.' ¹¹⁴ 'Ideas about compact and public transport-based cities are ways in which cities could impact less upon climate change. Retrofitting existing car-based cities with public transport- and pedestrian-based movement systems would go a long way towards reducing fuel demands'. ¹¹⁵ It also suggested that: 'cities planned in this way are more equitable in terms of providing good accessibility to both wealthier and poorer urban residents and overcoming spatial marginalization'. ¹¹⁶

However, in transition countries, controlling urban development appeared to be extremely difficult. Privatization and decentralization weakened the power of local governments. Changing this situation is one of the most important tasks of central and local governments in this region.

Priorities in investment. In transition countries, growing congestion resulted in a greater emphasis on building new roads, bridges and parking facilities than on the improvement of public transport. In addition, priority given to construction of new infrastructure leads to draining scarce resources which could be used to maintain and upgrade the existing deteriorated infrastructure and public transport fleet. 'There is a preference for capital-intensive projects. Instead of upgrading existing, but dilapidated systems with great potential (e.g. segregated tram tracks), cities often prefer investments in unaffordable systems such as metros.' With an increasing share of roads and rails in bad condition, delays in repair of infrastructure lead to dramatic increase in the costs of its rehabilitation. This was the reason for formulating the following EC recommendation. 'New infrastructure is costly and making

^{113.} UNECE and WHO, 2010.

^{114.} UN-Habitat, 2009 p.210.

^{115.} UN-Habitat, 2009 p.14.

^{116.} UN-Habitat, 2009 p.14.

^{117.} Bruggeman, 2004.

the optimal use of existing facilities can already achieve a lot with more limited resources. This requires proper management, maintenance, upgrading and repair of the large infrastructure network that has so far given Europe a competitive advantage. Upgrading the existing infrastructure – also through intelligent transport systems – is in many cases the cheapest way to enhance the overall performance of the transport system. This recommendation should be taken into account by the national and local governments of all transition countries.

Improving **public transport serving cities and surrounding areas** is considered as the most important measure in meeting objectives of sustainable transport. This can be done by:

- improving the existing systems through fleet renewal and better operation;
- retrofitting the system through upgrading infrastructure;
- introducing traffic priority for public transport means (tram, bus, trolleybus) in road traffic management; and
- building new lines.

Rail transport (railways, metro, and trams) was and is popular. In many large cities of transition countries, **metro** systems have been built. These systems are very effective, but high investment costs had the effect that other forms of public transport were suffering because of lack of financial resources. For instance, dense network of neglected **railways**, which could be used for serving suburban areas, were and often are underutilized. This should be changed.

Tramways appeared to be a highly effective and efficient solution. Contrary to the situation in the post-war period, it is now not considered as out-of-date. It offers high capacity at reasonable investments and operation costs. Higher standard trams, called light rail, offer a high quality of service and are very cost-efficient. Bus rapid transit, highly valued in South America cities, has not been implemented in Europe but is considered by some cities of the region. In any case, cities in transition countries should not close down their tram systems (as some of them did), but should maintain and modernize them.

To sum up, there are great opportunities for improving public transport in large cities of the region through employing the potential of developed rail transport networks (railways, trams). This requires allocating more resources to rehabilitation of infrastructure, fleet renewal and increasing quality of service, for example through adjusting facilities to the needs of mobility impaired and integrating rail transport with other means of transport (e.g. 'parkand-ride' and 'bike-and-ride').

Rapid growth of **informal transport** served as a temporary solution for providing public transport services in the region. They played and – in some cities – still play an important role. In a number of cities, private minibuses still operate without any restrictions, resulting in unfair competition and uncoordinated services. The task now is to improve service quality and reliability by introducing franchising systems adapted to local conditions and by facilitating the development and growth of private operators.

Financing public transport is a crucial issue. In the pre-transition period public transport was co-financed by the central governments. At present it is often one of the largest city budget burdens. Financial relationships between municipalities and transport operators are often unclear. Separation of functions of organizer and operator proved to be a good

_

^{118.} CEC, 2009.

^{119.} Bus rapid transit is another effective and efficient mean of urban public transport but still it was not widely used in Europe so far.

solution. Similarly, privatization and introduction of competition facilitated efficiency improvements and the reduction of subsidies. Channelling these subsidies through public service contracts has been successfully used in cities of the new EU member states. These solutions should be widely used in cities of the other transition counties. Operators should receive compensation from the decreeing authority for servicing privileged passengers (such as students, civil servants, or pensioners) eligible for free travel or low price fares.

Integration of all tran sport modes is beneficial to passengers and the organizers of transportation. The necessary financial outlay is relatively small. Integration is also highly valued by public transit users. Means of integration include:

- a common ticket valid for all means of public transport in the city and suburban areas;
- creating 'park-and-ride' and 'bike-and-ride' systems;
- improving or creating hubs serving different modes of transportation, among other through adjustment to the needs of mobility impaired users;
- dynamic passenger information systems; and
- use of telematics solutions.

Freight transport is one of the crucial problems of transport in urban areas. Effective organization is crucial not only for successful supply chain management and servicing urban areas (e.g. waste collection) but also for sustainable development. In transition countries various solutions have been tested and implemented. At the beginning, because of public pressure, drastic measures were considered and implemented in some cities, such as total ban on all heavy vehicles to some areas. This appeared to be so costly (in financial and social terms) that less rigid measures have been applied, such as temporal (nights and/or peak-hours) restrictions on selected roads or in some areas. At the same time, by-passes are built, mostly on roads with high percentage of long-distance traffic. Development of logistic distribution centres is encouraged. Some countries and cities are involved in international pilot projects dealing with goods transport in urban areas. There is a need for complex solutions and transfer of new technologies, such as advanced logistics and ITS solutions.

Advanced traffic management systems which have already been successfully installed in some cities of the region (e.g. Prague, Czech Republic) proved to be highly effective. However, in competition for scarce financial resources this solution usually loose against costly heavy infrastructure projects. Wide application of advanced traffic management systems in all large and medium size cities of the region is highly recommended.

Traffic and parking restrictions in selected city areas are common in Western Europe, but they are still less common in transition countries. Some cities did implement these measures many years ago (e.g. Krakow, Poland). The city can be divided into zones with different access restrictions and parking standards. In zone I (downtown), public transportation fills the primary role in serving the area. Access by automobile can be limited and even totally eliminated from selected streets and areas. Parking standards are based on 'no more than' principle (limited number of places, e.g. per 1000 square meters of offices or shops). Pedestrian, public transport and bicycle traffic are privileged. In outer zones other principles are applied, such as 'no less than' standard of the number of parking spaces for different uses of land.

Low emission zones are another form of access restriction. This solution has already been applied in some cities and is considered as promising measure which should be applied, first of all, in countries with still high proportion of aged vehicles not meeting even moderate emission standards.

Congestion pricing has been successfully applied in various cities throughout the world, e.g. Singapore, Oslo, London and Stockholm. In transition countries, pricing is limited to parking fees applied in many cities. Cordon pricing was introduced only in Riga (Latvia). In some cities introducing congestion charging has been placed on the list of policy measures which will be applied in more distant future. For example, it was mentioned in Warsaw transport policy documents adopted in 1995 120 and 2009. 121

When cordon/congestion pricing is introduced it is crucial that rates are not regulated by the state law (e.g. fixed rates or maximum rate level), but should take into account the price sensitivity of demand. In any case, congestion pricing is one of the most promising measures alleviating congestion problems and meeting environmental goals.

Creating good **conditions for ped estrians** was treated formerly by transportation planners and policy makers as an unimportant task. Nowadays, walking is finally getting the attention and recognition it deserves. Because of negligence in meeting the needs of pedestrians in planning, in the design and operation of urban roads and in public transport, more attention is needed to improve walking conditions. Pedestrian environment should be improved through applying higher standards in planning/design of footpaths (network, pavement, etc.), elimination of barriers and improving access to buildings and public transport stops. This is the task of both national government (regulations, instructions, and guidelines) and local governments.

Wider **use of bicycles** is encouraged in many cities of region. Creation of public bicycle systems, initiated in some cities of the region, is a highly recommended measure.

Traffic safety is amongst the crucial transport problems of transition countries. In most transition countries accidents and fatality rates are much higher than in Western Europe. This is especially noticed in urban areas. In the whole region, improving traffic safety should be placed at the top of the list of transport policy priorities. Most measures are applicable in all conditions, but some are country or region specific. In the case of transitional countries some attempts have been made to define country specific actions. Examples of these actions include:

- reduction of speed limits in urban areas in the CIS countries; in most of them there is still a 60 kilometres per hour speed limit;
- improvement/development of speed management;
- wider application of 30 kilometres per hour speed limit and other traffic calming measures;
- focus on driver's education and training; and
- introducing a formal procedure for the assessment of road and traffic management design from the point of view of traffic safety (safety audit).

Innovative technologies and succe ssful practices and a pproaches are particularly important for improving service quality and effectiveness of transport system as well as minimizing the environmental impacts of urban transport. Attractiveness of technological innovations and the best available technologies is unquestionable. However, in countries with limited resources and competing needs investing in the best available technologies immediately after their offering is not always viable. Choice of appropriate technologies should be made taking into account marginal costs of adopting a particular standards confronted with social and economic benefits. Opportunity cost of the capital in transition

^{120.} Warsaw, 1995.

^{121.} Warsaw, 2009.

countries is still very high and often it can be rational to apply broadly tested and implemented technology, cost of which is substantially reduced some time after first applications.

In most of the transition countries **central governments** have totally or significantly withdrawn from assisting cities in solving their problems. Central governments must take on more responsibility for urban transport. They should help cities by supporting research, disseminating information about best practices, and establishing a legal framework for public transport systems. Moreover, many local governments desperately need the financial assistance of central governments for crucially needed capital investment through direct subsidies or loan guarantees.

Institutional changes and capacity improvements, particularly in municipalities, are essential to supporting integrated land-use and transport planning and effective management of urban transport.

Public acceptance of policies and strategies is an important success factor. Policy changes in the transport sector in transition countries also have a psychological dimension. More than in Western Europe, the car is seen as a symbol of social status, wealth and self-confidence, not just as a means of transport. Opinions are expressed that, in transition countries, policies and actions that affect car ownership and use and give priorities to public transport do not have public support. But this is not always true. For example, surveys indicate that the majority of urban citizens in the new EU member states support giving public transport traffic priority (e.g. bus lanes) even though that requires restrictions on car use. Proper recognition of the preferences of transport users is crucial for political decisions concerning transport in the city. At the same time, it is necessary to inform well the client (urban citizen) about the weak and strong points of alternative solutions. Therefore, communication with society is essential.

^{122.} Stead et al, 2008.

^{123.} Suchorzewski, 2007.

List of References

- Bruggeman, G. (2004) 'Financing public transport projects in Eastern Europe and Russia', Presentation to the Conference on Implementing Sustainable Urban Travel Policies in Russia and other CIS Countries, 30 September 1 October 2004, Moscow
- CEC (Commission of the European Communities) (1995) *The Citizen's Network: Fulfilling the Potential of Public Passenger Transport in Europe*, European Commission Green Paper, COM (95)601, Luxembourg
- CEC (1998a) Communication on Developing the Citizens' Network, COM (1998) 431 final
- CEC (1998b) *The Communication on transport and CO2: Developing a Community Approach*, COM (1998) 204 final
- CEC (2001) White Paper on European Transport Policy for 2010: Time to Decide, COM (2001) 370, Brussels
- CEC (2005) Thematic Strategy on the Urban Environment COM (2005) 718 final, Brussels
- CEC (2006) Keep Europe Moving: Sustainable Mobility for Our Continent, Mid-Term Review of the European Commission's 2001 Transport White Paper, COM (2006) 314 final
- CEC (2007a) Green Paper Towards a New Culture for Urban Mobility, COM (2007) 551 final, Brussels
- CEC (2007b) Regulation No 1370/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2007 on public passenger transport services by rail and by road and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) Nos. 1191/69 and 1107/70
- CEC (2009) A sustainable future for transport: Towards an integrated, technology-led and user friendly system, COM (2009) 279 final
- CEC (2011) White Paper Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system, COM (2011) 144 final
- Cervero, R. (2000) *Informal Transport in the Developing World*, United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (UN-Habitat), Nairobi
- CIVITAS (2009) Cycling in Ljubljana: Comprehensive Cycling Strategy, Civitas Elan Project, Ljubljana
- Donchenko, V. (2008) 'Environmental Performances of Motor Vehicles and Fuels in Russian Federation and CIS Countries', Presentation to the Workshop on sustainable and healthy urban transport, October 2008, Chisinau
- EBRD (European Bank for Reconstruction and Development) (2005) *Strategy for Uzbekistan*, Strategy document as approved by the Board of Directors on 26 July 2005
- EBRD (2008) *Strategy for Latvia*, Strategy document as approved by the Board of Directors at its meeting on 9 September 2008
- EBRD (2009) Dushanbe Urban Transport Technicakl Due Diligence in Tajikistan, Project No. 25936, March
- EBRD (2010) *Strategy for Kazakhstan*, Strategy document as approved by the Board of Directors on 26 January 2010
- EC DG Energy and Transport (2009) *Thematic Research Summary: Urban Transport*, Transport Research Knowledge Centre
- ECMT (European Conference of Ministers of Transport) (2003a) *Reforming Transport Taxes*, Paris
- ECMT (2003b) Fifty Years of Transport Policy: Successes, Failures and New Challenges, OECD, Paris

- ECMT (2007a) *Regulatory Reform of Railways in Russia, 2007 Update*, A report prepared for the European Conference of Ministers of Transport (ECMT) and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), OECD Publications Services
- ECMT (2007b) Trends in the Transport Sector, 1970–2005, OECD Publications Services
- EIB (European Investment Bank) (2009) *The EIB's Role in Financing Sustainable Urban Transport Projects, Sectoral Summary Sheet*, EIB, Luxembourg
- ETSC (European Traffic Safety Council) (2008) PIN Flash 11: En Route to Safer Mobility in EU Capitals, PIN publications
- ETSC (European Traffic Safety Council) (2009) 2010 on the Horizon, 3rd Road Safety PIN Report, http://www.etsc.eu/documents/copy_of_copy_of_ETSC%20PIN%20Annual %20Report%202009.pdf, last accessed 3 May 2011
- European Road Safety Observatory (2008) *Traffic Safety Basic Facts 2007*, *Bicycles*, www.erso.eu
- Guess, G.M. (ed) (2008) Managing and Financing Urban Transport Systems: International Perspective, Open Society Institute, Budapest
- Hamraeva, N. (2010) 'Tajik Minibuses Driven to Destruction', Central Asia Human Rights Reporting Project, RCA Issue 611
- Hirt, S. and S. Stanilov (2009) Twenty Years of Transition: The Evolution of Urban Planning in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union, 1989–2009, Human Settlements Global Dialogue Series, No. 5, UN-Habitat, Nairobi
- Kadlec, V. and Z. Šuta (2007) 'Central City Access in Prague: Widening of the Environmental Zone for Vehicles over 6t', Bestufs Conference, 24–25 May 2007, Warsaw
- Kļaviņš, A. and I. Zujevs (2010) 'Cycling development in Riga city', A paper presented at the workshop on Safe and Healthy Walking and Cycling in Urban areas, held on 30 September 1 October 2010, Batumi, Georgia
- Molnar E. and L. Ojala (2003) 'Transport and Trade Facilitation Issues in the CIS -7, Kazakhstan and Turkmenistan, A paper prepared for the Lucerne Conference of the CIS-7 Initiative, 20–22 January 2003, World Bank, Washington, DC
- Nowaczyk, E. (2010) 'Raport rower w miescie (Report on Bicycles in Cities)', *Rowertour*, No.4
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (2003) *Delivering the Goods: 21st Century Challenges to Urban Goods Transport*, OECD Publications, Paris
- OECD (2006) Environmental Policy and Regulation in the Russian Federation: The Implementation Challenge, OECD Publications, Paris
- Olszewski, P. (2007) Walking as a Mode of Transport: A Planning and Policy Perspective, Publishing House, Warsaw University of Technology
- Prague Public Transport Company (2010) Annual Report 2009
- Pucher, J. and R. Buehler (2003) 'Transport policies in Central and Eastern Europe' in K. Button and D. Hensher (eds) *Transport Strategy, Policy, and Institutions*, Elsevier Press, England
- Rat, H. (2004) 'Working to Improve Public Transport in Russia and other CIS Cities: The UITP Experience', Paper presented at the Conference on Implementing Sustainable Urban Travel Policies in Russia and other CIS Countries, 30 September 1 October 2004, Moscow (Russian Federation)

- REC (Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe) (2007) Sustainable Transport Policies in South Eastern Europe; Needs, Priorities and Lessons Learnt from EU Countries, REC, Szetendre, Hungary
- Stead, D., M. de Jong and I. Reinholde (2008) 'Urban Transport Policy Transfer in Central and Eastern Europe', *The Planning Review* **44**(1):62–73
- Suchorzewski, W. (2002) 'Progress in meeting mobility needs of disabled and elderly in light of the results of the TRANSED 2001 conference', Presented at the 81st Annual Transport Research Board Meetings, 13–17 January, Washington, DC
- Suchorzewski, W. (2005) 'Society, Behaviour and Private/Public Transport Trends and Prospects in Transition Economies of Central and Eastern Europe', in K. Donaghy, S. Poppelreuter and G. Rudinger (eds) *Social Dimensions of Sustainable Transport Transatlantic Perspectives*, Ashgate, Aldershot, UK and Burlington, VT, USA, pp. 14–28
- Suchorzewski W. (2007) 'Lessons learnt from CEE countries on public transport in the last 15 years', Paper presented at the Regional Meeting on Sustainable Urban Transport Policies in South Eastern Europe, 21–22 June, Budapest
- Tajikistan (2002) *Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*, Government of the Republic of Tajikistan, Dushanbe, June
- Tkhilava, N. and L. Karanadze (2006) 'Challenges and possible solutions for sustainable urban transport in Tbilisi', Workshop on Sustainable Urban Transport and Land Use Planning 18–20 October, Tbilisi, Georgia
- TRANSED (2001) Conference Proceedings, IX International Conference on Mobility and Transport for Elderly and Disabled Persons, Warsaw, Poland, 2–4 July
- Tsarukyan, M. (2006) Sustainable Urban Transport in the City of Yerevan, Ministry of Nature Protection of the, Republic of Armenia
- UITP (International Association of Public Transport) (2004) *The Dresden Declaration on the Importance of Keeping and Upgrading Long Established Tramway Systems*, Dresden, http://www.uitp.org/news/details-news.cfm?&id=108&lg=en
- UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe) (2010) *UNECE Statistical Database*, http://w3.unece.org/pxweb/Dialog/Weekly/Monthly.asp?lang=1& year=2010
- UNECE and WHO (2008) *Transport, Health and Environment: Trends and Developments in the UNECE-WHO European Region (1997–2007)*, United Nations Publications, Geneva, Switzerland
- UNECE and WHO (2010) Amsterdam Declaration, Making the Link: Transport choices for our health, environment and prosperity, United Nations Publications, Geneva, Switzerland
- UN-Habitat (2008) State of the World's Cities 2008/2009, Earthscan, London
- UN-Habitat (2009) *Planning Sustainable Cities: Global Report on Human Settlements 2009*, Earthscan, London
- UN-Habitat (2010) 'Bridging the Urban Transport Divide', Summary Report of the Urban Researchers Roundtable, held at the 5th World Urban Forum 'The Right to the City: Bridging the Urban Divide' March 2010, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Vermishyan, A. (2008) 'Sustainable Urban Transport Planning in Yerevan', Paper presented at the Sustainable and healthy urban transport workshop focusing on eastern and south eastern Europe, Caucasus and central Asia, 28–29 October, Chisinau, Moldova
- Warsaw (1995) *Transportation Policy for the Capital City of Warsaw*, Resolution No XXVI/193/95 of the City Council of the Capital City of Warsaw on 27 November

- Warsaw (2009) Sustainable development strategy for the transportation system of Warsaw up to the year 2015 and successive years, Resolution No.LVIII/1749/2009 of the City Council of the Capital City of Warsaw on 9 July 2009
- WHO (World Health Organization) (2009a) European Status Report on Road Safety: Towards safer roads and healthier transport choices, Copenhagen, Denmark
- WHO (2009b) *Global Status Report on Road Safety, Country profiles*, http://www.yasa.org/upload/uploadedfiles/all_en.pdf, last accessed 3 May 2011
- World Bank (1999) *Poland, Strategic Priorities for the Transport Sector*, Report No. 19450-POL, Washington, DC
- World Bank (2011) *World Development Indicators 2011*, http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators, last accessed 3 May 2011